

Western Quail Management Plan

Acknowledgments

A continentwide effort of this magnitude would not have been possible without the assistance of many dedicated professionals. We appreciate the leadership of John Frampton and Breck Carmichael, chairs of the Resident Game Bird Working Group of the Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies. We thank the directors of the Western Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies for the support and staff time obligated to produce this conservation plan; without their support and without the leadership of the Arizona Game and Fish Department, the completion of this plan would not have been possible. We would also like to thank Dick Haldeman, Dale Rollins, Sanford Schemnitz, Doug Lynn, Mike Rabe, Ron Day, Tom Waddell, James Levy, Seymour Levy, Terry Riley, Robert Davison, Len Carpenter, Don McKenzie and many others who provided knowledge and guidance in completing the *Western Quail Management Plan*. Special thanks to Duane Shroufe, Executive Director of the Arizona Game and Fish Department, for his leadership and guidance and to Rick Horton who created the BCR maps.

Financial support for the development and delivery of the Western Quail Conservation Plan was provided by the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation, the Bass Foundation, state fish and wildlife agencies, and the Wildlife Management Institute. Arizona Game and Fish Department provided financial assistance towards publication costs.

Coordinated by Richard A. Bishop

Senior Author

Mark Zornes, Arizona Game and Fish Department

Conservation Region Authors

Dave Budeau, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife

Don Kemner, Idaho Fish and Game Department

Tom Blankinship, California Fish and Game Department

Dennis Southerland, Utah Division of Wildlife Resources

Mary Hunnicutt, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

Shawn Espinosa, Nevada Department of Wildlife

Doug Schoeling, Oklahoma Department of Wildlife Conservation

Ed Gorman, Colorado Division of Wildlife

Mick Cope, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife

Robert Perez, Texas Parks and Wildlife Department

Mick Mitchener, Kansas Department and Parks

Larry Kamees, New Mexico Game and Fish Department

Publication Management by Scot Williamson, Wildlife Management Institute

Copyediting, Design and Layout by Jennifer Rahm

Copyright 2008

Washington, DC

Table of Contents

Introduction	Bird Conservation Region 18
Descriptions of Various Species of Quail	Scaled Quail
California Quail	Bird Conservation Region 32
Description	California Quail
Natural History	Mountain Quail
Distribution and Abundance	Bird Conservation Region 33
Legal Status and Harvest	Gabel's Quail
Gambel's Quail	Masked Bobwhite
Description	Bird Conservation Region 34
Natural History	Montezuma Quail
Distribution and Abundance	Gambel's Quail
Legal Status and Harvest	Scaled Quail
Montezuma's Quail	Masked Bobwhite
Description	Bird Conservation Region 35
Natural History	Gambel's Quail
Distribution and Abundance	Scaled Quail
Legal Status and Harvest	Recommended Management Practices
Mountain Quail	Land Management Practices
Description	Invasive Species Management
Natural History	Conservation Programs
Distribution and Abundance	Water Distribution Allocation Policy
Legal Status and Harvest	Development Policy
Scaled Quail	Fragmentation Policy
Description	Harvest Policy
Natural History	Disturbance
Distribution and Abundance	Translocations
Legal Status and Harvest	Research Needs
Masked Bobwhite	Monitoring Protocol Development
Description	Population Dynamics
Natural History	Harvest Policy
Distribution and Abundance	Predation Policy
Legal Status and Harvest	Habitat Policy
The Status of Various Species of Quail in	Translocation Policy
Specific Bird Conservation Regions	Recreation Use of Habitat
Bird Conservation Region 9	Development of Habitat
California Quail	Implementation
Mountain Quail	Review and Update Process
Bird Conservation Region 15	Literature Cited
Mountain Quail	
Bird Conservation Region 16	
California Quail	
Gambel's Quail	
Scaled Quail	
Montezuma Quail	

Introduction

The *Western Quail Management Plan* (Plan) has been developed under the auspices of the Resident Game Bird Working Group of the Association of Fish & Wildlife Agencies. The development of the Plan is part of a continuing effort to establish species-specific or species-group-specific conservation strategies to guide resource planning and on-the-ground habitat management initiatives.

The Plan utilizes the North American Bird Conservation Initiative's bird conservation regions (BCRs) as the geographic assessment unit to ensure consistency with other planning efforts that focus on avian species. BCR boundaries may be viewed at <http://www.nabci-us.org/bcrs.html>. Assessments are provided for the BCRs, which represent the core of western quail's U.S. range.

The primary objectives of the Plan are to provide a rangewide estimate of population and habitat and to assemble current assessments of threats, management recommendations and research needs. Habitat conditions and population densities were based on available data or the expertise of resource professionals knowledgeable of regional conditions and populations. Because of the absence of a rangewide comparable population estimate, harvest estimates were used to index population size. Also, western quail population size is frequently more dependent upon rainfall patterns than habitat quality or quantity.

Descriptions of Various Species of Quail

California Quail

Callipepla californica

Description

The California quail is a medium-sized quail. Specimens taken from various portions of their original range average from 5.3 to 6.7 ounces (150.6–189.5 g), with the largest occurring in northern California and the smallest in lower Baja California (Sumner 1935). Regarding subspecies of California quail, Leopold (1977) lists seven subspecies. It is interesting that one of these (*C. c. catalinensis*) is thought to have resulted from translocations of quail from the mainland of California to Catalina Island by humans perhaps 12,000 years ago (Johnson 1972).

Males are slightly greater in length than females (10.2 to 10.6 inches [260–270 mm] compared to 9.5 to 10.5 inches [241–266 mm] for the specimens reported in Grinnell et al. 1918). The sexes are distinct in color. The male is more colorful and has a black throat circled with a white line. The top of the male's head is dark brown, with a plume of black curved feathers. The male's breast is gray, the sides and flanks are streaked with white, and the rest of the underparts have dark and light scaling; there is a red-brown patch at the center of the belly. Females are mainly brown with a scaled breast and have streaked flanks similar to the male. The plume is not as distinct as the male's. Young birds can be distinguished from adults in the fall and winter by the greater upper primary coverts on the wings. These feathers are tipped with buff color and are more pointed in birds-of-the-year; they are solid gray with rounded tips in adults.

The California quail's plumage is very distinct from that of all other North American quail, except for Gambel's quail. Male Gambel's quail have a black patch on an unscaled belly, and the top of the male's head is rust-red.

Natural History

Reproduction

California quail typically are monogamous. Coveys tend to break up in March; males display aggressive behavior toward each other, and pairs form within about two months. It is common for adult birds to form pairs earlier than birds-of-the-year, and adults typically mate with other adults (Genelly 1955). Egg laying begins in early April in southern California, in late April in central California, and in late May or early June in northern California and the Great Basin (Leopold 1977). In western Oregon, hatching dates ranged from late May through mid-September with peak hatch occurring during the first part of July (Crawford 1986a). The incubation period is between 22 and 23 days.

Nest locations. Gladding (1938) reported finding 93 nests during 1937 at a study site in central California on the western slope of the Sierra Nevada. Fifty of those nests were in dry grass or weeds, and the others were in rock piles, gullies or at the base of shrubs. In western Oregon, 24 of 50 nests were located

in shrub-grassland (Kilbride et al. 1992).

Clutch size and nest success. Gladding (1938) found that, of 40 nests that reached the stage of incubation, average clutch size was 11. Of the 93 nests observed by Gladding, 17 successfully hatched.

Renesting and double brooding. If a nest is destroyed before hatching, California quail may nest a second or even a third time. Successful renesting attempts will result in broods hatching later; these will be smaller than broods from initial nesting efforts in the same location, which may erroneously be taken as evidence of double-brooding. However, under favorable conditions, California quail may indeed raise two broods, with males playing a significant role in brood rearing (Leopold 1977). Conversely, in the most arid portions of their range, they may not breed at all in extremely dry years (Leopold 1977).

Mortality and Survival

Fluctuations in age ratios. California quail populations typically exhibit high mortality and may fluctuate considerably over time. In an extensive study from 1950 to 1957, at a 100-acre (247.1-ha) site near Berkeley, California, Raitt and Genelly (1964) found that the average rate of annual mortality was over 70 percent. Age ratios in the fall varied from 56.5 immatures per 100 adults to 222 immatures per 100 adults. McMillian (1964) reports on age ratios of 15,166 California quail shot during 25 hunting seasons near Shandon in San Luis Obispo County, California. Immatures per 100 adults varied from 4 to 430. Leopold also summarizes age ratios and rates of population turnover reported from other parts of the bird's range for studies of at least 4 years duration. Although not as dramatic as the variation reported by McMillian, all show substantial changes in ratios of immatures to adults, with average population turnover (replacement) rates between 63 and 77 percent.

Effects of weather on reproduction. It is generally accepted that the dramatic changes in ratios of immature to adult California quail result from differences in weather patterns. Francis (1970) compared the effects of weather during 14 years on age ratios of quail taken at the study site near Shandon, California, mentioned above. He found that quail productivity seemed to be a function of, in order of importance: (1) soil moisture in late April, (2) proportion of breeding females more than 1 year old and (3) the seasonal rainfall from September through April.

In a discussion of regional characteristics of the range of California quail, Leopold (1977) states that years of high rainfall are favorable for quail production in arid ranges; annual changes in precipitation are less important in the foothills surrounding the Sacramento Valley; dry years are favorable and wet years unfavorable for production in humid forest ranges; in Great Basin ranges, warm, dry springs are favorable while wet, cold springs are unfavorable.

Sex ratios. Regarding sex ratios, the proportion of males to females in the first few months of life is approximately even. Whereas among adult birds, typically there are more males. Raitt and Genelly (1964) found a ratio of 145 males to 100 females in birds that had gone through at least 1 breeding season. Similar results are described from other states (Leopold 1977). Males also outnumbered females in Oregon, but a disparity in ratios was noted between samples obtained by trapping (1.1 males/female) and shooting (1.6 males/female) (Crawford and Oates 1986).

Predation. Cooper's hawks (*Accipiter cooperii*) are thought to be a key predator because they often have been observed attempting to take quail, sometimes successfully. They have taken quail caught in traps set by researchers. However, there is little quantitative information indicating that Cooper's hawks have a major effect on quail populations. Leopold (1977) reports that 18 Cooper's hawks were taken at a study site near Shandon, California, in an area of high quail population. Two contained the remains of quail. Similarly, stomachs of only 3 of 25 Cooper's hawks examined during a study in San Luis Obispo County, California, contained quail (Gladding et al. 1945).

Northern harriers (*Circus cyaneus*) tend to frequent open grasslands, rather than areas commonly used by quail. However, where their ranges overlap, northern harriers may take some quail. Gladding et al. (1945) reported that 20 percent of all items brought to nests of northern harriers in his study site in San Luis Obispo County were young California quail. Predation by other raptors has been reported but appears not to be of much significance.

Bobcats (*Lynx rufus*) are a mammalian predator sometimes mentioned in the literature regarding quail, but, in studies where stomach contents of bobcats are reported, quail remains were found in only a small proportion. Sumner (1935) reported that 3 percent of 156 bobcat stomachs from California contained quail. Leach and Frasier (1953) looked at stomach contents from 53 bobcats taken in the vicinity of quail watering devices where quail were common. Only one of these bobcats contained the remains of quail.

Numerous other predators, such as red-tailed hawks (*Buteo jamaicensis*), prairie falcons (*Falco mexicanus*), kestrels (*Falco sparverius*), coyotes (*Canis latrans*) and long-tailed weasels (*Mustela frenata*), are known to occasionally take quail but not in large numbers (Leopold 1977). Predation on nests actually may be more significant than predation on the birds themselves. In a study reported by Gladding (1938) in central California, of 93 nests, 54 were destroyed. Of these, 30 were destroyed by Beechy ground squirrels (*Spermophilus beecheyi*). Other nest predators were house cats (*Felix domesticus*), coyotes, skunks (*Mephitis* spp., *Conepatus* spp., and *Spilogale putorius*), scrub jays (*Aphelocoma californica*) and gray foxes (*Urocyon cinereoargenteus*).

Disease. Little information exists about disease in California quail and the effect of disease on quail populations. Crawford (1986b) reported the occurrence of avian pox in California quail populations in western Oregon with an infection rate of 26 percent of 256 quail sampled at one location. No deaths of quail were directly attributed to avian pox, but Crawford suggested that birds may be more vulnerable to predation if their locomotion was impaired by the infection. Leopold (1977) states that, all in all, parasites and disease are not an important source of mortality in wild populations of California quail.

Home Range and Movement

California quail are typically less migratory than mountain quail. Emlen (1939) reported on home ranges in the winter of four coveys in the Central Valley of California. He found that these coveys had a home range of 19.0, 22.0, 17.1 and 45.0 acres (7.7, 8.9, 6.9 and 18.2 ha). In arid portions of their range, they may be dependent on limited water sources during the summer and fall, but this is no longer the case once fall rains begin. Measured daily movements varied from a range of 0.5 to 0.7 miles per day (0.8–1.2 km/day) in semiarid rangeland, to more than 1.0 mile per day (1.6 km/day) in areas of ample water

(MacGregor 1953). A study of movements of California quail in Modoc County, California was reported by Savage (1974); 1,889 quail were banded between 1966 and 1972. He found that movements within 5 miles (8 km) of trap locations were common; maximum movement was 11 miles (17.7 km). Mean home range size of radio-marked female quail in western Oregon ranged from 9.9 to 54.4 acres (4–22 ha, Kilbride 1991).

California quail are highly dependent on protective, brushy escape cover. In some of their range, such as the western Sierra Nevada foothills, rimrock country of northern California, eastern Oregon, Washington and along the Snake River in Idaho, rocky outcrops provide escape cover. In addition to escape cover, these birds require an intermixture of open feeding areas and dependable water sources. They are found primarily in chaparral, sagebrush scrub (*Artemisia*), oak-grassland, riparian and foothill woodland, and disturbed areas with humid forest ranges (Calkins et al. 1999).

Diet and Nutrition

Water requirements. Leopold (1977) provides an extensive review of information regarding the need of California quail for water. In general, drinking water is little used throughout much of the year but is critical in the summer and fall, before winter rains begin and while young quail exist. During hot, dry weather, California quail typically come to water each day. Adults with broods often visit water sources after a morning feeding period. Young broods probably don't travel more than about 0.25 mile (0.4 km) to water, but as they get older this distance increases (Leopold 1977).

In efforts to expand the range of quail, self-filling, water-collecting devices have been installed in arid ranges. In California, over 2,000 of these devices have been built, and, in some cases, they have increased quail numbers.

Diet. Various studies of the diet of California quail have found that plant food makes up the vast majority of their diet. Leopold (1977) reported an analysis of crop contents of 2,525 California quail collected at a number of locations in California. He found that they depend largely on seeds of a large variety of broad-leaved annuals during much of the year. Examples of important food plants from California are lupine (*Lupinus sparsiflorus*), lotus (*Lotus*), filaree (*Erodium cicutarium*), clover (*Trifolium*), bur clover (*Medicago hispida*), and fiddleneck (*Amsinckia* sp.). They also make use of acorns, waste grain, and fruits and berries, as well as seeds of shrubs, such as manzanita (*Arctostaphylos*), redberry (*Rhamnus crocea*), buckbrush (*Ceanothus cuneatus*), poison oak (*Toxicodendron radicans*) and California buckwheat (*Eriogonum fasciculatum*). Browning also found that invertebrates constitute between 1 percent and 6 percent of the diet and are generally taken in the spring and summer months. Under the age of 3 weeks, California quail consume significant amounts of invertebrates. But, by the age of 16 weeks, their diet is very similar to that of adults. During the spring, a high proportion (about 35 percent of the diet) of green leafage is consumed. This consists mostly of the tender leaves of clovers, filarees and grass. Legumes were particularly important to the annual diet of California quail in western Oregon, where they accounted for 67 percent of the dry mass of quail crops (Crawford 1993).

Regarding the diet of young quail, Newman (1978) examined crops of 260 young-of-the-year California quail in Madera County, California. Vegetation at the study site was predominantly annual grassland, oak

woodland. He found that seeds of herbaceous plants, nearly all annuals, composed 89.2 percent of the diet of young quail during June through October. Seeds of legumes composed 38 percent of the diet. Insect fragments composed 5.5 percent. During the study period (June-October), grass seeds, seeds and fruits of shrubs, acorn fragments, and green leafage were of minor importance.

In western Oregon, at the E.E. Wilson Wildlife Area near Corvallis, Blakely et al. (1988) found that invertebrates were found in the crops of quail at all seasons, and they increased in frequency from fall through summer. They found that many of the key invertebrates consumed by quail in the arid portions of their range (ants [Formicidae], beetles [*Coleoptera*], true bugs [*Hemiptera*], grasshoppers [*Locustidae*]), as reported by others, also were the primary invertebrates in the diet in western Oregon. Invertebrates made up a relatively small portion of the diet, similar to what was reported from other locations, but were consumed in higher frequencies and more consistently throughout the year than in other portions of the range.

Distribution and Abundance

Original Distribution

Leopold (1977) provides an extensive discussion of the distribution of California quail. Their ancestral range extended nearly 1,300 miles (2,092.1 km) from north to south, and 300 miles (482.8 km) from east to west. They originally occurred in virtually all of Baja California (Mexico) and California, except the Colorado and eastern Mojave deserts and the higher reaches of the Sierra Nevada and Cascades. They were also originally found in a small portion of northwestern Nevada and a portion of southwestern Oregon. They still occupy the majority of their original range.

Current Range

Through translocations, the range of the California quail has been greatly extended in the western United States, particularly in the Great Basin area. They now occur in much of eastern and in portions of western Washington, western Nevada, most of Oregon, western Idaho, and in scattered locations in British Columbia, Utah and eastern Nevada. These introductions occurred surprisingly early, beginning in the 1860s (Leopold 1977, Calkins et al. 1999). Regarding the question of what originally prohibited the spread of California quail to areas of the western United States that they now occupy, Leopold suggests that the clearing of forests and the introduction of grain crops, annual weeds, livestock feed lots and irrigated farm land created favorable habitat that did not previously exist.

Past Abundance

Leopold provides a substantial amount of information regarding the original abundance of California quail, including records of their use by Native Americans. These birds were sufficiently abundant to be an important food item for many native tribes throughout what is now California, and a variety of techniques were developed to trap, snare and net them. Quail were dried and stored for later use. They were even thought to have been introduced on Catalina Island, off the coast of southern California, perhaps 12,000 years ago.

Leopold also cites numerous accounts of commercial quail hunting in California. Apparently, in the late

19th century, market hunters trapped many thousands of quail, some maintaining a string of as many as 50 traps. According to one account, 177,000 quail were sold in markets in Los Angeles and San Francisco during the quail-shooting season of 1895 to 1896. According to another account, 2 market hunters secured 300 dozen quail in 17 days in 1883 in Los Angeles and San Bernardino counties.

Leopold argues that quail numbers reached a peak in California during the period of about 1860 to 1895. He believes that this was caused by a fortuitous combination of grazing at a level that broke up native bunchgrass and that allowed an invasion of seed-bearing Mediterranean forbs, such as filaree and clovers, and a modest level of farming. This low-intensity farming in the late 1800s on soils not yet depleted of their virgin fertility was advantageous to quail. Leopold compares this situation with the peak period of bobwhite quail production in the Midwest. As agriculture became more intensive in California, habitat values for quail decreased in the valleys where farming occurs.

Reported Densities

Reported densities of California quail vary considerably. Emlen (1939) found that four coveys studied near Davis (Yolo County), California, used winter areas of 21.0 to 45.0 acres (8.5–18.2 ha) each. Calkins et al. (1999) summarize reports of densities ranging from fewer than or equal to 0.023 birds per hectare to more than or equal to 0.500 birds per hectare. Extensive census work by Glading (1941) at a study site in Madera County, California, resulted in estimates of 0.64 to 1.46 birds per hectare. (Glading's study site was thought to be in an area of particularly productive habitat.) In western Oregon, California quail density was estimated at one bird per five hectares on one study site (Kilbride 1991).

Covey sizes for California quail tend to be from 30 to 70 under typical conditions but may be as high as several hundred (Leopold 1977).

Abundance Relative to Rainfall and Land Use

Abundance of California quail at a specific location often varies dramatically in response to weather patterns (see "Mortality and Survival" previously). This is particularly the case in arid portions of their range, where production of young greatly increases following wet years.

Abundance also can be affected dramatically by land-use changes. Appropriate levels of grazing, adequate sources of drinking water during summer and fall, avoidance of "clean" farming that leaves no cover, maintenance of adequate brushy cover for escape from predators and for roosting, appropriate management of fire and logging, and disking to provide open habitat and to promote the growth of preferred foods all have been shown to have the potential to increase numbers of California quail (Sumner 1935, Emlen and Glading 1945, Bauer 1977, Leopold 1977, Fitzhugh 1983, Oates and Crawford 1983, and Stinnett and Klebenow 1986).

Legal Status and Harvest

California quail are a popular game bird in all states within their range: California, Oregon, Washington, Nevada, Idaho and Utah. Daily bag limits are as follows: California, 10; Oregon, 10; Washington, 10; Idaho, 10; Nevada, 10; Utah, 5.

Gambel's Quail or Desert Quail

Callipepla gambelii

Description

This species is sexually dimorphic. Both sexes possess a topknot or plume consisting of approximately six teardrop shaped feathers. Males have black faces bordered in white, a chestnut crown, black topknot, gray back, buff breast, cinnamon streaked flanks and a black circular or horseshoe shaped abdomen patch. Females are duller colored, with gray head and topknot, gray body plumage with buff-white tips and similarly cinnamon streaked flanks. Due to buff plumage tips, female body plumage appears browner than does that of males. Males average between 6.0 and 7.1 ounces (170–200 g, Brown et al. 1998), while females are typically smaller (between 5.6 and 6.0 ounces (160–170 g). Total length averages 9.8 inches (250 mm). Young of the year can be differentiated from adults by light tipped and mottled upper primary coverts in juveniles versus uniform gray colored upper primary coverts in adults. Juveniles retain primaries P9 and P10 through their first year.

Natural History

A classic example of a boom-and-bust species. Gambel's quail abundance is inextricably linked to winter (October-April) precipitation (Macgregor and Inlay 1951, Swank and Gallizioli 1954, Campbell et al. 1973, Brown 1989, Brown et al. 1998) and the green vegetation produced during wet years. Swank and Gallizioli (1954) reported that 90 percent of variations in annual harvest are attributed to nesting success. The birds usually roost above the ground in dense shrubs or trees.

Reproduction

Pair formation in Gambel's quail follows winter aggregate covey break-up. Males leave winter coveys first and begin seeking females in other coveys (Brown et al. 1998). Pairing typically occurs during March, but it may occur in February or earlier during good years. Older, heavier females tend to form pair bonds earlier than young ones (Brown et al. 1998). Juvenile birds form pair bonds during their first winter and breed the following spring, later than adults (Gullion 1960, Raitt and Ohmart 1966). Vitamin A reserves in the liver, obtained from green vegetation produced by winter rains, stimulates reproductive organ development and positively influences reproductive success in this species (Hungerford 1960; Hungerford 1964). Reproductive organs in females may show no development following cold or dry winters (MacGregor and Inlay 1951). Low precipitation during winter and corresponding low reproductive success may be mitigated in riparian areas and irrigated croplands (Brown et al. 1998). Nests are typically made on the ground and are sparsely lined with leaves, grass stems and feathers (Gorsuch 1934) but may occur in shrubs, cacti, or trees if a suitable platform is located (Brown et al. 1998). Some nests are completely shadowed by a guard object, such as a shrub, fallen limbs, a wood rat's (*Neotoma's* spp.) nest, discarded lumber, tires and appliances; others are totally exposed. Egg laying can begin as early as mid-February and extend as late as mid-August, with the peak occurring mid-April to late May. Incubation of eggs averages between 21 and 23 days for this species (Brown et al. 1998). First broods appear as early as mid- to late March, with peak hatch occurring during late April to mid-June. Clutch size has been reported to range from 5 to more than 15 eggs, with 10 to 12 being the norm (Brown et al. 1998).

Nests in excess of 15 are typically considered “dump nests” (Brown et al. 1998:14). However, Hensley (1959) reported broods of 20 young. Additionally, a nest of 22 eggs was located in Maricopa County, Arizona, in 2005, which was actively incubated to complete hatch. Average brood size has been reported as nine in a New Mexico population of Gambel’s quail. Broods hatched prior to mean hatch date tend to be larger (Brown et al. 1998). While evidence is anecdotal, this species may produce multiple broods during the right climatic conditions, possibly through polyandrous behavior (Gullion 1956, Brown et al. 1998) or through brood weaning at an early age, as described by Gullion (1956). One or both behaviors may have occurred under abnormally favorable conditions in Arizona in 2005. While this has been reported to contribute little to most populations (Gorsuch 1934, Senteney 1957, Hungerford 1960, Brown 1998), observations by Gullion (1956) and observations from Arizona in 2005 seem to contradict this conclusion. Wing data from a sample of 2,680 wings collected in Arizona during the 2005 hunting season suggest two peak median hatch dates: April 9 and June 19. The second peak represented nearly 50 percent of the initial peak. However, this likely occurs only under optimum conditions.

Home Range and Movement

Home range for Gambel’s quail coveys is relatively small, as are annual movements. Home range has been reported to vary from 19.8 to 94.0 acres (8–38 ha, Brown et al. 1998). Maximum yearly movement of coveys has been reported to be fewer than 1.2 miles (< 2km; Greenwalt 1955, Gullion 1962); although, individuals may travel greater distances. Sharp et al. (1999) reported maximum movement of radio-marked male and female Gambel’s quail in Nevada of 2.5 miles (6.6 km) and 4.2 miles (6.8 km), respectively. Twenty-two percent of their instrumented birds displayed movements more than 1.9 miles (> 3 km).

Mortality and Survival

Mortality and survival rates for this species are primarily driven by annual variations in precipitation, and they tend to be most abundant during wet years and less abundant during periods of drought. Timing of annual precipitation is of particular importance.

Gambel’s quail are taken by numerous predators, including humans (*Homo sapiens*). Hunter harvest appears to be compensatory to other forms of mortality. Predation of adults and juveniles by several raptor species has been documented, including Cooper’s hawk and sharp-shinned hawks (*Accipiter striatus*), prairie falcons, Harris hawks (*Parabuteo unicinctus*), and great-horned owls (*Bubo virginianus*). Introduced bullfrogs (*Rana catesbeiana*) have been known to take chicks at water sources (Brown et al. 1998). Chicks are likely the prey of many species given large brood sizes and vulnerability. Roadrunners (*Geococcyx californianus*) have been observed preying on young chicks. Eggs and newly hatched young fall prey to cotton rats (*Sigmodon* spp.), ground squirrels (*Spermophilus* spp), snakes (Colubridae and Viperidae), and ants. While popular lore indicates otherwise, Gila monsters (*Heloderma suspectum*) appear to be an infrequent nest predator of this species.

Few diseases appear to play a large role in population regulation, with the possible exception of quail malaria. This disease is not always fatal but may lead to local declines during periods of drought or poor habitat condition (Brown et al. 1998). Birds are susceptible to trichomoniasis (*Trichomonas gallinarum*), especially during years when birds are concentrated on limited water sources. They are commonly

infected with various intestinal parasites, including nematodes and platyhelminthes. Intestinal coccidia has been documented in this species under captive situations but likely has no impact on wild populations (Brown et al. 1998).

Annual brood size and survival is quite variable among this species, and both are greatly influenced by climatic factors, both pre- and posthatch (Brown 1989, Brown 1998, Heffelfinger et al. 1999). Adult mortality averages around 40 percent annually, with higher rates of juvenile mortality. Survival for both adults and young has been estimated to be as low as 10 percent during very poor years (Gallizioli 1965). Gambel's quail chicks born during wet years with abundant green vegetation tend to have higher survival rates than those born during dry years (Sowls 1960). The percentage of juveniles in the population collected during fall hunting seasons varies from less than 10 percent during low harvest years to greater than 80 percent at peak harvest.

This is a quail typically associated with brushy and thorny vegetation in the Sonoran, Chihuahuan and Mohave deserts—a bird of brush-lined drainages, mountain foothills and grassy plateaus possessing a diverse shrub community. Gambel's quail range generally overlaps that of the western honey mesquite (*Prosopis juliflora*) upon which it partially relies for food and roosting cover. Although the ranges of these species do overlap to a great degree, it is likely this occurs because optimum conditions are found for both species in the same area (Brown 1989). Riparian areas become increasingly important on the fringes of this species' range (Brown et al. 1998). In the core of this species' range, Gambel's quail are partial to wolfberry or desert thorn (*Lycium* spp.), desert or spiny hackberry (*Celtis pallida*), Fremont barberry (*Berberis fremontii*), shrub live oak (*Quercus turbinella*), and catclaw acacia (*Acacia greggii*) as roosting and loafing cover. Other shrubs, such as little-leaf sumac (*Rhus microcarpa*) in New Mexico, become more important in the eastern parts of this species' range. Shrubs are important for heat regulation because of the shade and cover they provide. They are often associated with any mixed desert shrub and cactus in the upland subdivision of the Sonoran Desert (Brown et al. 1998). Brushier areas of the Mojave Desert receive the greatest precipitation, as do shrublands and brushy drainages within the Chihuahuan Desert. But, the bird is uncommon in areas within its range that are dominated by creosote bush (*Larrea tridentata*). In fringe habitats in some of the harsher range, including the lower Gila and Colorado River drainages, saltcedar (*Tamarix* spp), saltbushes (*Atriplex* spp.) and screwbean mesquite (*Prosopis pubescens*) are important habitat components.

Habitat Requirements

Food Requirements

Desert quail are primarily herbivorous, but animal matter is important seasonally (Gorsuch 1934, Brown et al 1998, Medina 2003). Gambel's quail diets vary considerably throughout the species' range but generally consist of seeds gleaned from forbs, grass, shrubs, trees and cacti (Gorsuch 1934, Hungerford 1962, Brown et al. 1998, Medina 2003). Seeds from legumes are important throughout the species' range. Fruits from shrubs and cacti (particularly prickly pear, *Opuntia* spp.) are used heavily seasonally. As mentioned previously, green vegetation, especially deervetches (*Lotus* spp.) and filaree, is very important during winter and early spring prior to reproduction, and it provides much of the species' moisture requirements (Hungerford 1962). Like other quail species, insects are important seasonally, especially for

growing young. Mesquite seeds and leaves, jojoba (*Olneya tesota*) seeds, tansymustards (*Descurainia* spp.), ragweeds (*Ambrosia* spp) and a host of other seed producing shrubs and forbs are eaten if available. For a more thorough discussion of this subject, see Brown et al. (1998).

Water Requirements

Water needs for this species are controversial (Gallizioli 1961) and a regular topic of discussion. Two schools of thought exist: the species derives water from succulent green feed (Hungerford 1960), and free water is a necessary regular requirement. It is likely both schools are valid, and it may depend on annual variation in precipitation patterns and on what portion of the species' range is in question. Most studies that suggest free water is necessary occur on the fringe of this species range, where conditions tend to be harsher (Gullion and Gullion 1964). Studies done in the core of this species range in Arizona show no benefit from addition of free water (gallinaceous guzzlers), and provision of free water may artificially concentrate birds to their detriment (Smith and Gallizioli 1963). Gambel's quail do use free water if available.

Distribution and Abundance

Gambel's quail are the only quail endemic to the Sonoran Desert (Brown 1989) with distribution centered in Arizona and northwestern Sonora, Mexico (Brown et al. 1998). They are also found in southeast California, southern Nevada, southern Utah along the San Juan and Colorado river drainages, in western Colorado along the Colorado and Gunnison river drainages, in southwestern and central New Mexico, and along the lower Pecos River and San Juan valleys. They also occur along the Rio Grande Valley of Texas, downstream to Presidio County. Birds have been widely introduced to nonnative range, including to portions of Colorado, California and Idaho.

Gambel's quail are the most numerous quail species within their native range. During "boom" years they can be one of the most numerous of any avian species in the Sonoran and in portions of the Mojave Desert. However, abundance estimates of any gallinaceous bird are difficult to assess and need additional study. Abundance estimates range from a low of 0.27 birds per hectare (Hensley 1954, Gallizioli 1965) to a high of 2.96 birds per hectare (Gallizioli 1965). In Arizona, 17,689,473.6 acres (7,158,676 ha) are considered core, or prime, Gambel's quail habitat. Applying the density estimates referred to above would yield population estimates for the core range in Arizona of 1.9 million quail during poor years and 20.5 million or more quail during optimal conditions.

Legal Status and Harvest

Gambel's quail are a popular gamebird in all states it inhabits, with the exception of Idaho, where abundance is low and distribution is limited. They make up the vast majority of quail harvest in Arizona (Zornes 2005).

Montezuma Quail or Mearn's Quail or Harlequin Quail

Cyrtonyx montezumae, subspecies *mearnsi*

Description

Montezuma quail are sexually dimorphic, the largest and the most strikingly marked of southwestern quail species. Male Montezuma quail have white and black harlequin-marked heads, capped by a russet shock of feathers that forms a nuchal crest. Males possess brown and black checkered backs interlaced with white or light colored feather shafts and have white, spotted black flanks. The breast and underparts are a rich mahogany that turns to black at the rump, which terminates in a stubby, almost nonexistent tail. Females possess a similar head pattern but are cinnamon colored with brown, black and buff markings. In winter, the males average about 6.9 ounces (195 g), the females about 6.2 ounces (176 g). Total length ranges from 8.1 to 9.1 inches (205–230 mm, Stromberg 2000). Both sexes are equipped with long, curved claws with which they excavate the tubers upon which they feed. Juveniles can be differentiated from adults by the appearance of the upper primary coverts for each sex and by the appearance of outer two primaries (P9 and P10).

Natural History

Like most gallinaceous birds, Montezuma quail populations are highly dynamic. There is a large variation in abundance from year to year. Annual precipitation has a significant influence on abundance, particularly summer precipitation (Brown 1989). The population, in both hunted and unhunted areas, is highest shortly after the young of the year hatch, and it declines over time to its lowest point, just before the next hatch. As is typical for quail species, most Montezuma quail probably die in the first year of hatching (Stromberg 1990). No data is available on longevity of birds in the wild, but, based on studies conducted on other quail species, few individuals are likely to reach 4 years of age. Birds in captivity have been reported to reach 7 years of age (Stromberg 2000). Unlike other southwestern quail species, they do not form winter aggregate coveys (Leopold and McCabe 1957).

Reproduction

Montezuma quail pairing typically occurs from late February to March, and nesting usually occurs from mid-June to mid-August (Wallmo 1954, Leopold and McCabe 1957, Bishop 1964). Typically, hens mate with only a single male but may display polyandrous behavior during exceptionally favorable conditions (Stromberg 2000). Nests are made on the ground either on slopes or adjacent to ground structure, such as a tree or a boulder. Most often, nests are covered chambers with a woven canopy of perennial bunchgrass. Little data exists regarding average clutch size for this species. Leopold and McCabe (1957) reported that average clutch size is 11.1 across the species' range and that both males and females incubate eggs. Stromberg (2000) reported that parents share incubation and that average clutch size is 10.6. First broods appear in mid-June to September (Bishop 1964), with the peak hatch occurring in August. Brood size averages 8.4 (Leopold and McCabe 1957). Timing of pairing, nest building and hatching is somewhat variable and is dependent on summer rainfall patterns. Covey size varies throughout the year with pairs of birds most common in late winter. After the hatch, coveys are generally family groups of 6 to 15 birds. Young birds typically remain with adults for approximately 6 months after

hatching, then disperse and form new coveys. The number of birds per covey declines from posthatch to prehatch due to mortality and dispersion.

Home Range and Movement

Home range for coveys is relatively small in size. Quality and quantity of habitat components influence home range size. Stromberg (1990) found that radio-marked birds seldom moved more than 200 feet (61.0 m) in a day. Leopold and McCabe (1957) reported that feeding site fidelity occurred in this species and that coveys ranged in an area of fewer than 597.1 feet (< 182 m). Coveys typically range over small areas (less than 14.9 acres [6 ha], Brown 1978); although, larger movements have been documented. Anecdotally, Montezuma quail that occupy habitats above the Mogollon Rim in Arizona and higher elevations in westcentral New Mexico likely display short-range, altitudinal migrations in response to weather conditions. This phenomenon was also reported by Leopold and McCabe (1957), but they suggested the movements were never greater than a few miles. More data are needed regarding this subject.

Mortality and Survival

As with most gallinaceous birds, annual variations in climate have the greatest influence on population levels for Montezuma quail. Montezuma quail are more dependent upon summer monsoon precipitation than other southwestern quail. Population carryover from one year to the next appears to have a greater influence on this quail's abundance than for other species, but research regarding this subject is limited. Winter mortality for this species may be high during years that have periods of persistent, heavy snowfall (Leopold and McCabe 1957, Yeager 1966).

Limited data are available regarding important predators of Montezuma quail. Accipiters (Cooper's hawks and northern goshawks, *Accipiter gentilis*, have been documented as predators of this species (Stromberg 1990, 2000). Great-horned owls are abundant within the range of this species and are opportunistic aerial predators. Skunks, raccoons (*Procyon lotor*), coatis (*Nassua narica*), opossums (*Didelphus virginiana*), gray foxes, coyotes, and javelina (*Pecari tajacu*) are potential nest predators and may feed on adults and young if captured. Given the popularity of this species as a gamebird, humans are also an important Montezuma quail predator. While hunting pressure has been shown to reduce Montezuma quail abundance in localized areas, hunting appears to have no impact at the population level (Leopold and McCabe 1957, Bishop 1964, Bristow and Ockenfels 2000). Montezuma quail numbers have been shown to be higher the year following the hunting season in hunted versus unhunted areas (Bristow and Ockenfels 2000).

No data from wild populations, apparently, are available regarding either disease or parasites in this species (Stromberg 2000). Bishop (1964) reported no blood parasites from southeastern Arizona Montezuma quail.

Brood success in Montezuma quail is less variable than for other southwestern quail species, such as scaled quail and Gambel's quail (Brown 1978, Heffelfinger and Olding 2000). Wings from hunter-harvested birds have been consistently collected in Arizona since 1984. Average percentage of juveniles in the Arizona harvest from 1984 to 2005 was 72.7 percent (range 48–83 percent). Given consistent

reproductive success, Montezuma quail population size in summer is more dependent on winter survival and population carryover than for desert quail species (Brown 1989). Maximum populations are achieved when high summer rainfall follows years of high population carryover (Brown 1979). This may result in greatly increased populations because juvenile survival increases concurrent with increased production the following summer.

Habitat Requirements

Montezuma quail rely heavily on oak-grassland or pine-grassland savannahs. They rarely occur in other habitat associations, except during years of peak abundance. However, Montezuma quail do not occur in areas without an adequate grassland component (Brown 1989). Montezuma quail are occasionally associated with other tree species including catclaw (Brown 1989), mesquite (Bishop 1964), and palo verde (*Cercidium* spp., Stromberg 2000). Nearly all studies of Montezuma quail habitat requirements have found areas with high grass diversity and grass cover height associated with a tree overstory of oak (e.g., Arizona white oak [*Quercus arizonica*] or Emory oak, [*Q. emoryi*].) or pine (*Pinus* spp.), are best for this species. Perennial bunchgrass species are most often used for cover and nesting. These grasses are warm-season species, produced during periods of summer monsoon moisture (July-September). Montezuma quail depend on hiding cover for defense from predators, for nest construction and for thermal protection in all stages of their life cycle (Wallmo 1954; Bishop 1964; R. Brown 1978, 1982; D. B. Brown 1989; Stromberg 2000).

Montezuma quail are approximately 4.0 to 6.0 inches (10–15 cm) when standing erect—in height and select for areas with higher horizontal cover in all heights from 2.0 to 19.7 inches (5–50 cm) over those provided at random locations (Bristow and Ockenfels 2000, 2002, 2004). Montezuma quail rely on their cryptic coloration and on “freezing” as their primary defense from predators (Brown 1989), the effectiveness of which is influenced by grass canopy and grass height. One study suggests predation from aerial predators can be significant (Stromberg 1990), which could account for selection of taller, more dense grass cover (Bristow and Ockenfels 2004).

Spatial arrangement of both grassland and woodland cover is very important for this species due to its survival strategy, small home range, dispersal distances and food habits. Adequate grass horizontal and vertical cover must be well distributed across the landscape to meet the cover needs of this species. The percentage and distribution of suitable habitat patches will determine the amount of use a given pasture receives (Brown 1982), and connectivity between suitable patches is essential for dispersal.

Montezuma quail are vulnerable at night roosts and nests, given both occur on the ground. Nests are constructed with care and are located within tall bunchgrass (Wallmo 1954). Stromberg (1990) described night roost locations as areas with tall grass associated with a guard object (e.g., a rock). Roost site fidelity has been documented for this species.

Species richness is a measure of habitat quality and rangeland health. Bristow and Ockenfels (2000, 2002, 2004) found Montezuma quail used areas with higher grass, forb and tree species diversity than random sites.

Nowhere are Montezuma quail commonly found in areas devoid of overstory tree cover. Woodland used most heavily throughout this species range is typically comprised of oak or of a combination of oak and pine. Overstory trees provide security, thermal cover and a microclimate conducive to forb production (Bristow and Ockenfels 2000). Rarely are Montezuma quail located more than a few dozen yards from trees (Brown 1989), but they have been documented far from trees (K. Bristow, personal communication 2003). Stromberg (1990) reported Montezuma quail were most often found within 20 yards (18.2 m) of oak trees, but there are many examples of this species existing in areas devoid of oaks. Brown (1982) recommended that overstory canopy cover be maintained at no less than 20 percent and that 30 percent was optimum. Bristow and Ockenfels (2000) reported Montezuma quail selected for overstory canopy of 26 to 75 percent, with optimal levels occurring between 26 and 50 percent.

Food Requirements

Habitat selection by Montezuma quail is not only dependent on available cover but also on the distribution of food plants (Leopold and McCabe 1957, Bishop 1964). Studies of Montezuma quail diet have determined that the bulbs and tubers of yellow nutsedge (*Cyperus esculentes*) and Gray' woodsorrel (*Oxalis grayi*) account for the majority of their diet (Bishop and Hungerford 1965, Brown 1982). Both these plants show above-ground growth in summer but may be invisible in fall and spring. Oak woodland provides the microclimates conducive to the production of these and other forbs. Insects and acorns are used in summer, and bulbs and tubers are most often used in fall and winter (Bishop and Hungerford 1965). As with other gallinaceous birds, the diet of 6-to-8-week-old Montezuma quail likely consists primarily of insects.

Moderate livestock grazing, where grass cover requirements are met, is not inconsistent with good Montezuma quail habitat; although, Montezuma quail tend to select the more lightly used patches of a pasture (Bristow and Ockenfels 2000). Brown (1982) found higher densities of quail food items in grazed areas than in ungrazed areas. It seems reasonable that the foods used by quail grow best where grass competition is reduced, leading some to suggest that grazing directly benefits Montezuma quail. However, Leopold and McCabe (1957) documented less food availability in areas of very intense livestock grazing. Regardless of livestock impacts on Montezuma quail food abundance, all studies conducted on Montezuma quail habitat stress that diverse, tall grass is a critical component. When grazing over large areas reduces grass cover below that required by Montezuma quail, they cannot use the available food because of the lack of cover (Brown 1982).

Water Requirements

This species does not appear to need or use free water to any great extent (Leopold and McCabe 1957). They reported birds were plentiful in areas that had no sources of free water in Michoacán, Mexico. Likely they use dew if available but primarily obtain necessary water requirements from food resources (Leopold and McCabe 1957).

Distribution and Abundance

In Arizona, Montezuma quail are restricted to “sky island” habitats in southeastern Arizona (Peloncillo, Chiricahua, Perilla, Mule, Dragoon, Whetstone, Huachuca, Canelo Hills, Patagonia, Santa Rita, Sierrita,

Tumacacori, Atascosa, Pajarito, San Luis, Baboquivari, Santa Catalina, Rincon, Galiuro, Winchester, Little Dragoon, Pinaleno and Santa Teresa Mountains) and to isolated pockets above and below the Mogollon Rim, north of the Gila River. Montezuma quail have been documented as far west as Prescott in (Fort Whipple, Coues 1866) and the Bradshaw Mountains (Brown 1989), and they have been reported at elevations up to 10,000 feet (3,048 m) on Mount Baldy, Green's Peak and Escudilla Mountain. Brown (1989) reported this bird to be once common in San Francisco Peaks area north of Flagstaff, Arizona. No recent records from this area exist.

Estimates of density for this species are uncommon, given its limited distribution in the United States and its behavior. The only method that has proved of value in density estimation is the use of trained bird dogs.

Legal Status and Harvest

Montezuma quail are classified as upland gamebirds in Arizona, New Mexico and Texas; although, Texas has no open season for this species.

Mountain Quail

Oreortyx pictus

Description

Mountain quail are the largest of North American quail. The first written description of mountain quail is attributed to the journals of Lewis and Clark, whose expedition collected specimens along the lower Columbia River. There are no reliable plumage characteristics to distinguish males from females across most of their range. Both genders possess straight vertical head plumes and chestnut-colored throat patches. There is subspecific variation in body color, but overall color is dull olive with white barring on the flanks surrounded by chestnut. Body mass of mountain quail during winter captured in southwestern Oregon averaged between 8.8 ounces and 9.2 ounces (250–260 g; the sample size equals 498). Adults average between 10.6 and 11.4 inches (270–290 mm, Johnsgard 1973). The American Ornithologist's Union (AOU) currently recognizes five subspecies of mountain quail, but the legitimacy of these subspecies has been questioned.

Natural History

Reproduction

Mountain quail are monogamous. Male and female mountain quail can independently incubate clutches and brood chicks (Heekin 1993, Delehanty 1997, Pope 2002). The rangewide prevalence of male incubation and simultaneous clutches is unknown, but it is likely common, at least in Idaho (Beck et al. 2005) and Oregon. Of 148 nests in Oregon from resident and translocated quail, 64 were incubated exclusively by males, 77 were incubated by females and 7 by birds of unknown sex. (Pope and Crawford 2001; R. L. Scheele, unpublished report 2001; G. Jackle, M. D. Pope, E. V. Rickerson, and D. Zalunardo, unpublished report 2002; M. D. Pope, G. Jackle, D. A. Budeau and D. Zalunardo, unpublished report 2003; M. D. Pope, D. A. Budeau and D. Zalunardo, unpublished report 2004; J. Nelson and W. D. Robinson, unpublished report 2005). This suggests simultaneous double-clutching is likely common and is an important part of the species reproductive strategy, especially since environmental conditions in the areas these birds inhabit may limit the ability to renest or double brood. Renesting attempts by mountain quail are infrequent (Pope 2002, Beck et al. 2005). The first clutch may be incubated by the male and the second clutch by the female (Delehanty 1995). In Idaho, mean hatching date for nine paired males was June 30; for their mates peak hatch was July 3 (Beck et al 2005). Monitored known pairs of translocated populations in Oregon also suggest that incubation by the pair is often initiated around the same time (M. Pope and J. Nelson, personal communication 2006). Both members of the pair begin incubation within a few days of each other; this suggests both clutches are nearly complete before incubation begins. In Idaho, males incubated larger clutches and hatched more chicks than females (Beck et al. 2005).

Nests are often highly concealed by an overhead cover of shrubs, inside bunchgrass, under downed logs and even under rocks. Of 26 nests located on Steens Mountain in southeastern Oregon, 9 nests were concealed by shrubs, 7 by rock, 4 by young juniper, 3 by grass and 3 by downed trees (J. Nelson, personal communication 2006), which is consistent with the nest locations in Idaho (Heekin et al. 1994). In the more mesic areas of western Oregon, 78 percent of nests were located in edge habitats or in early seral

habitats with a shrub component (Pope 2002).

Clutch size. Average clutch size from 34 nests in Idaho and California was 10.9 ± 7.3 (Gutierrez and Delehanty 1999). In Oregon, Pope (2002) reported an average clutch of 11.3 ± 0.3 for 57 nests (40 were resident quail, and 17 were translocated quail). In Oregon, 89 nests of translocated quail had an average clutch size of 10.1 ± 0.3 (Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, unpublished data 2006).

Nest attentiveness. The incubation period is generally considered to be 24 to 25 days (Johnsgard 1973, Gutierrez and Delehanty 1999) but may be longer in some areas as Pope (2002) reported a mean incubation period of 30 ± 0.6 days (the sample size is 18, range 27–36). Males and females generally take two recesses each day while incubating. Daily time away from nests averaged 164 ± 5.5 minutes (Pope 2002). Paired birds in Idaho spent an average of 59 days for egg laying and incubation, assuming the egg-laying rate was 1.2 days per egg (Beck et al. 2005).

Nest success. Limited studies of nest success for resident birds are available. In an Idaho study, nest success was reported at 77 percent for 13 nests (Heekin et al. 1994). For resident and translocated birds in Oregon, nest success was reported at 70 percent for 57 nests (Pope 2002); for 91 nests from translocated birds in Oregon, nest success was reported at 74 percent. In the southern part of their range, mountain quail may not nest in dry years (Gutierrez and Delehanty 1999). The peak hatching period in Oregon and Idaho is late June and early July (Beck et al. 2005; Pope 2002; J. Nelson and W. D. Robinson, unpublished report 2005). On the western slope of the Sierra in northern California, eight nests hatched between June 16 and July 17 while in southern California, near Joshua Tree National Monument, chicks hatch in late May (Miller 1950).

Mortality and Survival

Like most species of quail, mountain quail likely have high mortality, and individual lifespans are relatively short. However, few studies have investigated survivorship in mountain quail. Pope and Crawford (2004) found similar survival rates for mountain quail in southwestern and northeastern Oregon, despite differing climate and habitat. During the 150-day monitoring period, mountain quail survival was 0.42 ± 0.04 in the combined areas of Hells Canyon and the Cascade Mountains of Oregon (Pope and Crawford 2004). Male mountain quail were found to have slightly higher survival rates (Pope and Crawford 2004; J. Nelson and W. D. Robinson, unpublished report 2005). This is consistent with the gender ratios determined from the blood samples of 653 mountain quail captured in Oregon between 2002 and 2006, where 52 percent were male and 48 percent were female (Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, unpublished data 2006).

Avian predation appears to be the principal cause of mortality with accipiters being the most frequently implicated (Gutierrez and Delehanty 1999; J. Nelson and W. D. Robinson, unpublished report 2005). Other raptors, such as great-horned owls, and mammals such as coyote, bobcat and long-tailed weasel, are also known to prey on mountain quail. In southeastern Oregon, 46 percent of the mortality was attributed to avian predators, 8 percent to bobcat and 46 percent unknown (J. Nelson, personal communication 2006). Mountain quail mortality has been documented during extreme winter weather when snow accumulation is too deep or persists for too long (Gutierrez and Delehanty 1999). In southern California, precipitation may strongly influence survival of young mountain quail. Miller (1950) reported in

1947 that 1 to 2 inches (2.5–5.1 cm) of rain resulted in no or very few young birds, 4 inches (10.2 cm) of rain in 1948 produced ratios of one adult to three young, and 6 inches (15.2 cm) of rain in 1949 produced ratios of one adult to five young.

Home Range and Movement

Unlike other North American quail, many mountain quail make seasonal movements between their breeding range and wintering range. These movements are generally altitudinal in nature, with birds nesting at higher elevations than they winter. Pope (2002) reported that 53 percent of the mountain quail in the Cascade Mountains of Oregon migrated more than 6.2 miles (10 km) between winter range and breeding range habitats. Home range estimates for the segment of the mountain quail population that did not exhibit migration was 348.4 ± 76.6 acres (141 ± 31 ha, M. D. Pope, D. A. Budeau and D. Zalunardo, unpublished report 2004). Mountain quail that inhabit lower elevation coastal mountain ranges tend to move less between breeding and wintering ranges. In the southern part of their range in desert habitats, mountain quail disperse following winter rains and the germination of herbaceous plants (Gutierrez and Delehanty 1999).

Habitat Requirements

Mountain quail are adaptable birds and are capable of occupying a wide range of plant communities. But, across their range mountain quail are consistently associated with early-successional, shrub-dominated communities. In northern California, the following five habitat variables were the most important components for mountain quail: distance to water, distance to cover, minimum shrub height, maximum shrub height and total shrub cover (Brennan et al. 1987). This resulted in mountain quail using areas in proximity to water and to tall dense shrubs in greater proportion than their availability. In California, 71.6 percent of the mountain quail observations in the northern part of the state came from mixed-shrub and mixed-forest communities (Brennan et al. 1987). In central coastal California, mountain quail are most often found in mixed evergreen forest; in southern California, mountain quail are most often found in chaparral and mixed-desert scrub (Gutierrez and Delehanty 1999).

Mountain quail translocated from mesic sites in southwestern Oregon to more xeric areas in Hells Canyon had survival rates similar to resident birds (Pope and Crawford 2004), further suggesting individual birds can be quite adaptable. Resident and translocated mountain quail in Hells Canyon of northeastern Oregon were most often associated with overstories dominated by mixed hardwoods or with conifers that contained a healthy shrub understory, such as an overstory of black cottonwood (*Populus trichocarpa*) and a snowberry (*symphoricarpos* sp.) understory, while quail in the Cascade Mountains selected early successional shrub and sapling stands (M. D. Pope, D. A. Budeau and D. Zalunardo, unpublished report 2004).

Mountain quail typically occupy steeper slopes, habitat of greater complexity and more rugged terrain than California quail where they are sympatric (Gutierrez 1980).

Food Requirements

Mountain quail primarily consume vegetative matter and are opportunistic. Examples of food items selected across their range include: seeds, berries, nuts, fungus, flowers, bulblets and green vegetation.

Invertebrates are the primary animal matter consumed but generally constitute a small proportion of the total dry mass of the diet (Pope et al 2002, Gutierrez and Delehanty 1999). Adult females and chicks during the first weeks of life consume more animal matter than males. Invertebrates identified in the mountain quail crops include termites (*Isoptera*), ants, butterflies (*Lepidoptera*), earwigs (*Dermaptera*), grasshoppers, beetles (*Genus species*) and spiders (*Araneae*) (Pope et al. 2002).

Seeds are consumed from a wide variety of annual and perennial plants. The importance of a particular food item in the diet varies across the range of the species depending on local abundance. In southwestern Oregon's Cascade Mountains, legume seeds were the most used food item as determined by total dry mass (47 percent) of crop contents (Pope et al. 2002). Mountain quail in California are reported to scratch and dig for underground bulblets to an average depth of 1.6 inches (4 cm, Gutierrez 1980). Throughout their range in California, the most significant shrub species contributing to the diet are ceanothus (*Ceanothus* sp.), manzanita, silk tassel (*Garrya* sp.), toyon (*Heteromeles arbutifolia*), poison oak, squaw bush (*Rhus trilobata*) and laurel sumac (*Rhus laurina*); important forbs are filarees and legumes; important grasses include brome grass (*Bromus* sp.), fescue (*Festuca* sp.), needle-grass (*Stipa* sp.) and ryegrass (*Lolium* sp.) (Grenfell et al. 1980). Demonstrating the diversity of vegetative material consumed by mountain quail, 24 plant taxa were identified in the crops of mountain quail during the fall, and 37 plant taxa were identified in the crops of mountain quail collected in winter (Pope et al. 2002).

Distribution and Abundance

Current distribution of mountain quail is restricted to western North America, from southern British Columbia to Baja Mexico, and it includes Washington, Idaho, Oregon, Nevada and California. Mountain quail are typically associated with mountainous terrain from elevations of 765.5 yards (700 m) to more than 3,280.8 yards (> 3,000 m, Gutierrez and Delehanty 1999). The natural historic range of mountain quail was not well documented and may have been disguised by translocations that began in the last half of the 19th century. The Columbia River may have been the northern extant of the historic range in Coast and Cascade mountains with translocations responsible for the establishment of populations in western Washington and British Columbia (Crawford 2000).

The distribution and abundance of mountain quail diminished greatly in the Intermountain West during the mid-20th century. In Idaho, the distribution is thought to be 10 percent or less of the historic distribution and was graphically demonstrated in a series of maps from 1938 to 1989 in Brennan (1990). The distribution of mountain quail east of the Cascade Mountains in Oregon also showed significant declines during this same period. However, the abundance and distribution of mountain quail in the eastern part of Oregon has been increasing since the mid-1990s particularly in the John Day River drainage. Mountain quail in California still occupy much of their historic range. In western Oregon, western Washington and much of California, there are extensive areas of suitable brush habitat while suitable habitat in the Intermountain West is linear and often associated with riparian areas, which are thought to be important for wintering mountain quail (Brennan 1990). Degradation of the relatively linear riparian habitats may be responsible for population declines observed in the Intermountain West. The recent improvement in riparian areas may be responsible for population increases in eastern Oregon.

Mountain quail coveys are generally small, consisting of 10 or fewer birds (Gutierrez and Delehanty 1999). Due to the small covey size, the secretive nature of mountain quail, their reluctance to stray far from dense cover and the often remote areas they inhabit, few biologists have been successful at estimating abundance of mountain quail. Brennan (1990) reported population densities in northern California ranged from 12 to 35 quail per square kilometer. Pope (2002) acknowledged the difficulty in estimating densities but postulated there were more than 5 quail per square kilometer in Hells Canyon and more than 15 quail per square kilometer in the southern Cascade Mountains of Oregon.

Legal Status and Harvest

Mountain quail are classified as upland game birds in the five western states and one province where they currently occur. Idaho and British Columbia have no open season for mountain quail. Portions of Oregon and Washington are open to mountain quail hunting, while Nevada and California offer seasons. Bag limits and seasons vary within states, depending on known distribution and abundance.

Scaled Quail or Blue Quail or Cottontop Quail

Callipepla squamata

Description

Scaled quail have brownish-gray wing, back and tail plumage. The head is topped with a white-tipped crest. Body and neck plumage is bluish with dark tips, creating a scaled appearance. There is very little difference in appearance between sexes, and they are reported as the least dimorphic of all North American quail species (Schemnitz 1994). Sex can be determined by examining the throat plumage, which is white to buff colored in males and is gray with dark streaks in females (Wallmo 1956a, Brown 1989). Males may also display a rich blue coloration in neck and upper breast plumage. One subspecies—*C. s. castagnogastis* from southern Texas and portions of northeastern Mexico—exhibits a russet-orange horseshoe on the abdomen. This abdomen coloration has also been documented in scaled quail in southeastern Arizona (Brown 1989). This quail is slightly larger than the closely related Gambel's quail, with which it occasionally hybridizes (Brown 1989). Total length of adults is reported at 10.0 to 12.0 inches (254–305 mm, Schemnitz 1994). Males (6.7 ounces [191 g]) are heavier than females (6.2 ounces [177 g], Nelson and Martin 1953). Young of the year can be differentiated from adults by light tipped and mottled upper primary coverts in juveniles versus uniform gray colored upper primary coverts in adults. Juveniles retain primaries P9 and P10 through their first year.

Natural History

This species of quail is associated with mixed desert grasslands or shrublands in northern Mexico and in the southwestern portion of the United States. They roost and nest on the ground. While this species remains common or abundant in portions of its range, populations are generally considered to be declining due to habitat degradation. Scaled quail appear to be sensitive to excessive livestock grazing. They are more apt to run when disturbed, rather than flushing like most other species of quail. Populations are characterized by boom-and-bust cycles, probably in response to environmentally induced reproductive failures (Wallmo and Uzzell 1958, Campbell et al. 1973, Schemnitz 1994). However, in contrast to Gambel's quail, scaled quail population response to fall-spring precipitation is less clear across the range of this species. Wallmo and Uzzell (1958) found reproductive success was primarily tied to spring precipitation. Campbell et al. (1973) suggested that summer (April-August) precipitation appeared to be more important in New Mexico. Brown et al. (1978) found that summer (April-August) precipitation did not have a significant impact on reproductive success for scaled quail in Arizona. Brown (1989) suggests a combination of winter and summer precipitation, and overwinter survival plays a bigger role in Arizona scaled quail abundance. Unlike for Gambel's quail in Arizona, neither winter nor summer precipitation are good predictors of fall harvest (M. Rabe; personal communication 2003). Scaled quail harvest in Arizona represents about 10 percent of annual Gambel's quail harvest. Scaled quail do not appear to exist within native range in areas that receive fewer than 6.0 inches (< 15 cm) of summer precipitation (Brown et al. 1978, Brown 1989). Total male to female ratios are lower than for other quail species, but adult male to female ratios exceed 1 to 1 (Schemnitz 1994).

Reproduction

Like other quail, sexual maturity is achieved during an individual's first spring (Brown 1989). Much like Gambel's quail, pair formation in scaled quail follows winter aggregate covey break-up. Pair formation typically occurs in March, but has been reported to have occurred in Arizona as early as February (Schemnitz 1994). Calling intensity of males corresponds with peak breeding condition, as is true for Gambel's quail. Peak of calling is quite variable. Brown et al. (1978) reported a range of peak calling dates in Arizona of May 7 through August 4. Wallmo (1956a) and Campbell et al. (1973) reported similar data from both Texas and New Mexico. This species differs from Gambel's quail reproductive strategy in that initial pair bonds may last until conditions are suitable for nesting, in some cases as late as August (Brown 1989). As with Gambel's, vitamin A reserves in the liver likely positively influence reproductive success (Brown 1989). Cain et al. (1987) reported no significant influence on reproductive success due to dietary phytoestrogens. Like many other quail species, nests are constructed primarily on the ground of grasses and feathers (Wallmo 1956b, Brown 1989). Occasionally, nests are built above the ground in a low shrub, such as a yucca (*Yucca* sp.; K. Bristow, personal communication 2003). Nests occasionally are constructed with overhead cover of woven grass, and are usually associated with a guard object such as a prickly pear, yucca, dead Russian thistle (*Salsola kali*) or abandoned farm machinery (Schemnitz 1961, Brown 1989). Eggs are laid in response to favorable conditions. Average clutch size has been reported as 12.7 by Schemnitz (1961) and 14 by Wallmo (1956b). Brown (1989) reports clutches consist commonly of 9 to 16 eggs, with extremes of 5 to 22. Incubation lasts between 22 and 23 days (Schemnitz 1994). Nest failures are common, and poor nesting success was reported by Schemnitz (1961). Average brood size is relatively high and was reported as 7.8 and 11.5 for Oklahoma (Schemnitz 1961), 8.7 for Colorado (Hoffman 1965), and 8.1 and 8.2 for Arizona (Anderson 1974). Wallmo (1956b) reported polyandrous behavior for this species; although, both Campbell et al. (1973) and Brown (1989) reported that no evidence existed that two broods are raised during one year. Brown (1989) suggested males assist in nest construction and may brood eggs if the female is killed. Renesting has been documented in this species following loss of initial nest.

Home Range and Movement

Home range for scaled quail coveys is generally considered larger than that for Gambel's quail and is probably associated with habitat quality. Home ranges for this species during the winter vary from 24.8 to 84.0 acres (10–34 ha) in Oklahoma (Schemnitz 1961), to 175.4 to 519.0 acres (71–210 ha) in western Texas (Wallmo 1956b). Summer ranges are larger, with a reported range of 719.1 to 2,179.5 acres (291–882 ha, Brown 1989). Movements from summer range to winter range are typically not large (fewer than 2.5 miles [< 4 km], Schemnitz 1994) and are generally into foothill habitats (Brown 1989). Annual movements by individual scaled quail have been documented of up to 59.7 miles (96 km, Campbell and Harris 1965).

Mortality and Survival

Scaled quail abundance is driven by annual variation in weather conditions.

Not much has been reported regarding predators of scaled quail (Schemnitz 1994). Anecdotal evidence suggests predators that are effective at catching other quail species or that are nest predators also occasionally feed on scaled quail or their eggs. None are reported as having a population level impact on

any quail species. Hunting harvest is considered compensatory and appears to have no effect on populations (Campbell et al. 1973, Brown et al. 1978).

Few diseases appear to play a large role in population regulation; although, Rollins (2000) theorized that epizootics potentially played a role in population declines in Texas. Unlike Gambel's quail, scaled quail appear to be more resistant to avian malaria (Schemnitz 1994). Like other quail species, scaled quail act as a host to several internal and external parasites. Around 10 percent of scaled quail examined by Medina (2003) in southern Arizona were infected with platyhelminthes and nematodes. Medina (2003) speculated internal parasite loads may inhibit reproduction and may serve as a direct or indirect source of mortality of both adults and young.

Annual brood size is reported to be consistently high for this species, while nesting success is low (Schemnitz 1994); although, Brown et al. (1978) reported nesting success in Arizona fluctuated much less than did nesting success in Gambel's quail. Average annual juvenile mortality rates appeared to be very high (86 percent) in New Mexico and total annual mortality for the same populations was reported as high as 83 percent (Campbell et al. 1973). Conversely, Brown et al (1978) reported that annual nesting success was much more consistent in Arizona scaled quail and that fluctuations in population were more dependent on carryover or overwinter survival.

Habitat Requirements

This is a species that appears to be primarily linked to desert grasslands; although, it has been reported in creosote dominated areas of Mexico (Brown 1989). It has also been observed in similar areas in southwestern New Mexico. It's generally associated with open, level-to-rolling habitats, and it seems to avoid more rugged slopes and dense stream courses. Both plant species and structural diversity are common components of nearly all habitat research conducted across this species' range. Saiwana et al. (1998) asserted a mosaic of mid-to-late seral grassland communities exists to maximize scaled quail abundance in New Mexico. The species seems to avoid pure grasslands, particularly stands of introduced aggressive grass species (e.g., Lehmann's lovegrass [*Eragrostis lehmanniana*], Medina 2003), areas that lack high interspersed shrubs, grasses and forbs (Schemnitz 1994, Medina 2003, Bristow and Ockenfels 2006), and bare ground (Wilson and Crawford 1987, Saiwana et al. 1998). Bristow and Ockenfels (2006) found the species in Arizona to be most abundant in areas with a diverse native grass community of around 50-percent canopy and a canopy of 10 percent shrubs. Treed areas (defined as a tree or shrub more than 1.6 yards [> 1.5 m] in height) were avoided. Stormer (1984) found scaled quail preferred areas with 35 percent shrub cover and 45 percent herbaceous cover as roost sites. Davis et al. (1975), Schemnitz (1994) and Medina (2003) suggested quail numbers were greater in areas of high plant species diversity. Medina (1988) observed quail most often in areas of high forb cover and low perennial grass cover. Schemnitz (1994) indicated this species needed a diverse grass community, with a varied forb component and scattered shrubs. Interspersed bare ground also appears to be an important habitat component (Wallmo 1957; Schemnitz 1994; Rollins 2000; K. Bristow, personal communication 2003), probably because this species prefers to run when disturbed (Rollins 2000). In southern Texas, this species selects areas with greater overstory of shrubs and more bare ground than do sympatric bobwhites (Wilson and Crawford 1987).

Food Requirements

Seeds from forbs make up the largest portion of this species diet (Schemnitz 1961; Medina 1988, 2003; Schemnitz 1994). Forbs most often eaten are those species that are considered undesirable as range plants, such as smallflowered milkvetch (*Astragalus nuttalianus*), morning glory (*Ipomoea eriocarpa*), foothill deervetch (*Lotus humistratus*), lupine (Medina 2003), snakeweed (*Gutierrezia sarothrae*) and Russian thistle (Davis et al. 1975, Schemnitz 1994, Schemnitz et al. 1998). This species feeds on a great deal of green herbaceous material, especially during winter and spring (Brown 1989, Schemnitz 1994). This material is likely ingested as a source of Vitamin A prior to reproduction. Seeds of woody plants like mesquite, acacias (Davis et al. 1975, Rollins 1981) and spiny hackberry (*Celtis ehrenbergiana*, Medina 2003) are frequently fed upon. Fruits and seeds of cacti are readily fed upon when available (Brown 1989). Insects are fed upon a great deal seasonally by both adults and young (Schemnitz 1994, Medina 2003). Grass seeds, particularly from bristlegrasses (*Setaria* spp.), also are important food components (Medina 2003). For a more thorough discussion of this subject, see Schemnitz (1994) and Medina (2003).

Water Requirements

As with Gambel's quail, water needs for this species are controversial. Brown (1989) suggests the species will readily use free water if it occurs, but it often exists far from a source of free water. Most researchers of this species have reported populations seasonally far from water (e.g. Wallmo 1956b), with documented distances as far as 16.0 miles (25.6 km, Schemnitz 1994). However, early researchers reported low bird abundance and few young in areas devoid of water (Schemnitz 1994). Water needs for this and other quail species are likely dictated by climatic conditions at the time the research is conducted and the portion of the species' range measurements are taken from.

Distribution and Abundance

This species primarily is found in Chihuahuan Desert grasslands and adjacent habitats and in shrub-invaded grasslands of the southern Great Plains. Native range extends as far south as Hidalgo, Mexico, and as far north as southwestern Kansas and southeastern Colorado (Brown 1989). These quail have been translocated outside native range to central Washington and eastern Nevada (Johnsgard 1973).

Scaled quail abundance estimates are difficult to obtain and vary widely across its range. Density estimates ranged from one bird per 0.4 hectare in portions of south Texas (Figue 1946), to one bird per 25.9 hectares in Colorado (Hoffman 1965). Generally, they are considered to be declining throughout the species' range (Brennan 1993, Schemnitz 1994), possibly due to habitat degradation from overgrazing (Schemnitz 1994). Increasing shrub dominance of Chihuahuan desert grasslands, perhaps combined with invasive herbaceous plants, is linked to declines of scaled quail abundance and distribution in Arizona.

Legal Status and Harvest

It is classified as an upland gamebird through much of its range. Hunting seasons currently occur in Texas, New Mexico, Arizona, Colorado, Kansas and Oklahoma.

Masked Bobwhite

Colinus virginianus ridgwayi

Description

The masked bobwhite is 1 of 21 subspecies of the northern bobwhite (Guthery 2000:6–7). Although similar to the others in many ways, adaptation to an arid environment that is unique patterns in precipitation and temperature has likely been responsible for the unique aspects of the masked bobwhite's life history.

Male masked bobwhites are characterized by a rusty red breast and a dark head. Some males exhibit a nearly solid black head with only minimal white flecking. Others show a distinct white eye stripe, which may be accompanied by additional white flecks. Females are indistinguishable from females of other bobwhite subspecies; they have light brown underparts with a buff throat and eye stripe. In both sexes, the back is brown with a high degree of dark patterning to each feather.

Adapted to southern climes, the masked bobwhite is characteristically smaller than many quail subspecies, averaging 5.9 ounces (168.6 g) for males and 5.7 ounces (162.8 g) for females (Tomlinson 1975).

Natural History

Reproduction

Courtship and pairing typically begin in early to mid-July with the onset of the monsoon rains. In Arizona, pairs are occasionally observed in spring. Some birds will nest during spring when adequate rainfall exists (Hernandez et al. 2006, Tomlinson 1972a).

Courtship begins with the calling of the males. The males make the typical “ah-bob-white” call characteristic of the species. Egg laying begins around August 1, and the peak of hatch is approximately mid-September. The female lays 10 to 20 white eggs. Nests are on the ground in bunchgrass, are well-concealed and are lined with dead grass (Ough and de Vos 1982; Levy and Levy 1984). Incubation lasts approximately 23 days. Broods consist, on average, of 5 to 15 chicks with the average being 11 (Tomlinson 1972a).

The breeding season of the masked bobwhite is short with calling lasting only about 70 days and nesting lasting 90 days (Tomlinson 1972a). Since initiation of breeding corresponds to relative humidity in excess of 25 percent (Goodwin and Hungerford 1977), appropriate conditions are short-lived. Heat can further impact bobwhite populations by reducing egg fertility or production (Lehmann 1946:115–6, Roseberry and Klimstra 1984:76), shortening the length of the nesting season (Klimstra and Roseberry 1975, Guthery et al. 1988), and reducing the amount of thermally suitable habitat (Guthery et al 2001). Guthery et al. (1988) reported that heat reduced the proportion of southern Texas bobwhite males that were producing sperm and the proportion of bobwhite hens that were laying eggs. They also documented shorter breeding seasons for bobwhites in very hot, dry areas, compared to areas that were more temperate and mesic.

In general, the productivity of galliformes is reliant somewhat on the ability to renest following nest failure. With greater than 70 percent individual nest failure, those species which ultimately achieve overall success are those with the ability to produce offspring in subsequent nesting attempts. This is difficult or impossible for masked bobwhites.

Bobwhites require between 47 and 55 days to lay and incubate their first clutch (Rosene 1969), and they require between 20 and 34 days between nesting attempts (Burger et al. 1995). A complete nesting cycle—from the first nest to start of the second nest—would require between 65 and 89 days. A renesting attempt would be difficult for masked bobwhites to achieve, given their 90-day nesting season. Because of this, the masked bobwhite is at a disadvantage in terms of overall production and may suffer from chronically low productivity (Hernandez et al. 2006).

Mortality and Survival

Annual mortality rates are believed to be similar to the rates for other bobwhite subspecies—about 70 percent (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1995). Most mortality in the Buenos Aires National Wildlife Refuge is attributed to avian predators (Goodwin 1982, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1995). Little is known about predation of masked bobwhites in Mexico, but local ranch personnel attribute many bobwhite deaths to bobcats and house cats, as well as to hawks. At the present time, there is no information on the role of nest predation in the overall mortality rate of the subspecies.

Disease is common in the captive flock, but nothing is known about the presence of disease in the wild populations. It is also unknown how weather affects the wild population. In captive situations, dropping temperatures, especially when combined with wet weather, regularly decimate entire coveys. It is thought, however, that effects would be less amongst wild birds, as bird movements, coupled with habitat choices, would likely minimize deaths due to inclement weather.

Home Range and Movement

The only research regarding masked bobwhite home-range size and movements was done by Simms (1989). Home ranges for reintroduced bobwhites in Arizona averaged 24.7 acre (10.0 ha) during the breeding season (mid-May to mid-October) and 28.2 acre (11.4 ha) during the nonbreeding season. Overall home range size was 26.9 acre (10.9 ha). Distances between release locations and sites of first trapping averaged 1.9 miles (3.1 km) but ranged from 70.0 yards to 14.7 miles (64 m–23.7 km). Seventy-two percent of birds moved fewer than 0.6 mile (< 1 km) from release site to home-range center, 33 percent moved between 0.9 and 2.5 miles (1.5–4 km) and 6 percent moved more than 6.2 miles (> 10 km).

Habitat Requirements

Early accounts of masked bobwhite habitat described landscapes consisting of level, diverse grasslands of moderate elevation interspersed with woody cover and characterized by an abundance of seed-producing plants (Hernandez et al. 2006). Bobwhites have been described as inhabiting grassy plains, river valleys and foothills in the lower Sonoran zone but not brushy canyons (Grinnell 1884, Van Rosem 1945, Brown and Ellis 1977). Tomlinson (1972a, 1984) concluded that the best habitat consisted of open desert grasslands located within a 831.1-yard (760-m) elevational band intersected by brushy areas.

Food

Much remains unknown about food habits of the masked bobwhite. Primary foods are seeds, insects and green forbs (Bendire 1892, Cottam and Knappen 1939). Stomach contents of 10 Sonoran bobwhites revealed seeds from a variety of plants, including whiteball acacia (*Acacia angustissima*), ground cherry (*Physalis* spp.), panic grasses (*Panicum* spp.), dayflower (*Commelina elegans*) and partridge pea (*Chamaecrista nictitans*), as well as grasshoppers (*Orthoptera*) (Cottam and Knappen 1939).

Water

It remains somewhat controversial as to whether masked bobwhites, or northern bobwhites in general, need water. According to Guthery (2000) bobwhites obtain water from three sources: metabolic water produced from food, preformed water obtained from moist food (e.g., invertebrates, berries, greens) and free water (e.g., found in ponds and dew). He states that metabolic water alone is insufficient for bobwhites inhabiting semiarid environments but can provide 25 percent of their needs. Preformed water is prevalent in leafy greens; up to 90 percent of their weight can be water. Insects as well may contain up to 60 percent water. By combining metabolic and preformed water, an adequate supply is obtained to allow bobwhites to survive and thrive. However, in more arid environments such as Arizona or Sonora in May and June prior to monsoon, there may be few insects or moisture-laden foods. At these times, the birds may possibly need to turn to free water for their needs. Whether they need water or not, masked bobwhite are known to utilize free water when it is available to them.

Distribution and Abundance

Historic distribution of the masked bobwhite was from 29.8 to 49.7 miles (48–80 km) north of the international boundary in Altar and Santa Cruz valleys of Arizona south to southern Sonora (Brown and Ellis 1977). Now the range is confined to the Buenos Aires National Wildlife Refuge, its surrounding area in southern Arizona and at least two private ranches in central Sonora, Mexico.

Mexico

Historic habitat in Mexico ranges from the U.S. border to southern Sonora (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1995). Currently, the range is on at least two private ranches in a small area southwest of Benjamin Hill, Sonora. Despite extensive searches from 1967 through 1972 (Tomlinson 1972b), surveys done in the 1990s (Dobrott 1992) and 2000s, no other populations of the subspecies have been located. Range reduction is attributed to drought and heavy grazing. In addition, large-scale conversion of native grasslands to buffelgrass (*Pennisetum ciliare*) contributed to the loss of bobwhite habitat by greatly decreasing plant diversity (Hernandez et al. 2006).

Historic population numbers are unknown. Thirty-five years of calling male surveys conducted on Rancho El Carrizo indicate that population levels varied substantially, apparently in response to rainfall (Camou-Luders et al. 1998). Annual detections of calling males varied from a low of 0 to a high of 60.

Current populations are very small, with only between three and six calling males being detected on call-count surveys in 2006 and 2007. The bird appears to be near extinction in the heart of its range.

Arizona

Originally described as plentiful in Arizona in its early days (Brown 1904) and as common during the 1860s, bobwhites declined drastically in southern Arizona and were considered extirpated by the turn of the 20th century. Excessive grazing pressure coupled with prolonged drought was blamed (Brown 1900, 1904).

Currently, the population on and around Buenos Aires National Wildlife Refuge is believed to be near zero; although, occasional detections are reported a few times a year.

Translocations and Releases

Since 1937, masked bobwhites have been translocated into areas of Arizona and New Mexico. That year, 157 were captured and released in the United States (Lawson 1951, Ligon 1952). At that time the historic habitat of the Altar Valley, Arizona, was in such poor condition that releases had to be done outside the historical range of the bird (Arrington 1942). These translocation efforts failed. Numerous additional translocations took place over the following 50 years with no success. In 1999, 37 birds were captured in Sonora. Twenty-five of them survived to be translocated to the Buenos Aires National Wildlife Refuge. Descendants of those birds persisted through 2004 and were thought to be self-sustaining until prolonged droughts in 2005 and 2006 evidently contributed to their demise.

A captive flock was established at Patuxent Wildlife Research Center in Maryland in the late 1960s. Captive-reared birds were bred there for release in the Altar Valley of Arizona until 1996 when responsibility shifted to the staff of Buenos Aires National Wildlife Refuge. In all, over 30,000 captive-reared masked bobwhites have been released into the wild since 1937. Over 21,000 have been released on the Buenos Aires National Wildlife Refuge. The population is not self-sustaining; although, captive-reared individuals interbred with the aforementioned stock from the 1999 translocation, and their descendants persisted in very small numbers for 5 years (Buenos Aires National Wildlife Refuge, unpublished data DATE).

Currently in Arizona, the wild population is hovering near zero. Releases of captive stock were suspended in 2004 as a result of recommendations stemming from a wildlife and habitat management review. At that time, a small population persisted at two locations of the refuge. It was thought they may be self-sustaining. Following 2 years of virtually no winter rain (winters 2005–2006 and 2006–2007) the small group of birds dwindled. At the time of writing, there appear to be very few wild birds existing on Buenos Aires National Wildlife Refuge.

Legal Status and Harvest

The masked bobwhite quail has been on the federal endangered species list since the passage of the Endangered Species Conservation Act of 1960 (Public Law 91-135, 83 Stat. 275). This act was superseded by the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (50 CFR 17.11; Public Law 93-205, 87 Stat. 884; 16 U.S.C. 1531-1540), as amended. The status is valid wherever masked bobwhites exist in the United States and Mexico.

The masked bobwhite is also listed by the government of Mexico as “Especies de Fauna en Peligro de Extinction en Mexico” (Reyes 1982). As designated by the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species, the masked bobwhite is a species in danger of extinction and is on the International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources’ 1986 “Red List of Threatened Animals” (listed and endangered).

As an endangered subspecies of a popular game bird, harvest is illegal.

The Status of Various Species of Quail in Specific Bird Conservation Regions

Bird Conservation Region 9: Great Basin

*includes portions of California, Idaho, Nevada, Oregon, Utah
and Washington*

This large and complex bird conservation region (BCR) includes the Northern Basin and Range, the Columbia Plateau, and the eastern slope of the Cascade Mountains. This area is dry due to its position in the rain shadow of the Cascade Range and the Sierra Nevada. Grasslands, sagebrush and other xeric shrubs dominate the flats and lowlands, with piñon-juniper (e.g., *juniperus osteosperma* and *juniperus scopulorum*) woodlands and open ponderosa pine (*Pinus ponderosa*) forests on higher slopes. Lodgepole pine (*Pinus contorta*)-sub-alpine fir forests occur at higher elevations on north-facing slopes.

California Quail

Current trend. California quail's long-term population trends fluctuate across BCR 9. Changing landscapes affect long-term quail populations. Quail are locally abundant where suitable habitat is present.

Population estimate and population density. There is no published estimate of California quail population size for BCR 9. Most populations are found in association with brushy riparian corridors, disturbed habitats or agriculture lands.

Desired population level. Sport harvest will be used as an index to California quail abundance. Total harvest in BCR 9 is currently 457,600. Of this total, an annual average of 148,000 are harvested in Idaho, 152,800 in Washington, 52,000 in California, 59,000 in Oregon, 300 in Utah and 45,500 in Nevada. The desired population level would maintain or enhance the current total California quail harvest in BCR 9.

Management issues and limiting factors. Landscape changes—primarily enhancements in agricultural production (clean farming methods), degraded riparian conditions due to burning and grazing practices, roads (improved roads or dirt and gravel roads), water extraction or inappropriate recreational use levels, invasive plants and urbanization—are primary issues limiting California quail populations. Water distribution may be a limiting factor. Domestic and feral cat populations are a negative effect on quail populations in areas near human habitation.

Habitat objectives. Existing Partners in Flight (PIF) plans, joint venture plans and state wildlife action plans do not specifically address the habitat needs of California quail. However, the implementation of these plans will benefit California quail. State gamebird management plans discuss California quail habitat needs in varying degrees. Habitat objectives for BCR 9 include:

- ◆ to assess existing habitat conditions
- ◆ to convert 10 percent of existing annual grass habitat to perennial bunchgrass habitat
- ◆ to enhance 10 percent of existing degraded riparian areas, seeps and springs

- ◆ to determine and maintain a required residual herbaceous cover height for nesting and brood rearing
- ◆ to identify and maintain minimum distance between water sources in appropriate areas.

Management recommendations.

- ◆ Use existing imagery and field staff to identify potential and existing habitats and conditions.
- ◆ Plan habitat enhancements and improvements at watershed scale.
- ◆ Assist land-management agencies and private landowners in identifying and implementing upland and riparian habitat enhancements.
- ◆ Increase plant diversity in quail early seral habitats. For example, a diversity of plants is beneficial to California quail and can be increased by seeding with legumes.
- ◆ Maintain or increase availability of dense escape and roosting cover. Simply retaining old fence rows can benefit California quail. Artificial escape or roosting structures and brush piles are readily used by California quail.
- ◆ Develop riparian wildlife objectives and quail habitat best management practices; incorporate them into Farm Bill conservation programs.
- ◆ In cooperation with U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), develop wildlife consultation services to provide quantified wildlife outputs for NRCS project proposals.
- ◆ Restore fully functioning riparian terrestrial wildlife habitat through progressive livestock grazing strategy design, riparian fencing and restoration of hydrologic function on 10 percent of existing degraded riparian areas, seeps and springs.
- ◆ Restore 100 miles (161.0 km) of riparian plant communities invaded by nonnative or nondesirable plants.
- ◆ Maintain vigorous, self-sustaining understory of grasses and forbs with particular emphasis on seed-set and dispersal to sustain seed eating wildlife on 10 percent of quail range.
- ◆ Maintain range site health to keep soil erosion within natural limits on 100 percent of quail range.
- ◆ Identify and encourage acquisition—by trade, fee-title purchase or conservation easement—of private lands that are particularly valuable to quail and that are in danger of conversion to uses that would decrease value as quail habitat.
- ◆ Contact and encourage local planning authorities to protect quail habitat through appropriate zoning.
- ◆ Seek partnerships with landowners, land-management agencies and conservation organizations to protect, maintain and improve habitat for California quail.
- ◆ Work with land-management agencies, individuals and conservation organizations to provide water sources for quail and other wildlife on 100 dry-area sites. Optimal quail range should have water sources spaced no more than 1 mile (1.6 km) apart.
- ◆ Where escape cover is lacking, work with land-management agencies and private landowners to provide adequate escape cover at 200 sites, particularly near water sources.
- ◆ Manage stands of brush species for diversity of structure and seral stage and optimal edge effect at 100 sites. This may include creation of fire breaks within chaparral stands. Management can be through the use of equipment or fire.
- ◆ Manage grazing at appropriate levels on 25 percent of quail range to maintain suitable escape cover and areas of habitat dominated by forbs, rather than annual grasses.

- ◆ Protect riparian areas from inappropriate grazing by livestock, fire and excessive water extraction.

Mountain Quail

Current trend. Mountain quail populations east of the Cascades and Sierras have declined dramatically (Gutierrez and Delehanty 1999). Populations in eastern Washington, eastern Oregon, southwestern Idaho and central Nevada are in jeopardy of extirpation (Vogel and Reese, unpublished data 2002). Generally, mountain quail populations have declined in BCR 9.

Population estimate and population density. Mountain quail are secretive and difficult to detect. No reliable method has been developed to estimate population size. Population densities in suitable habitat have been reported at more than 15 mountain quail per square kilometer on the western slope of the southern Cascade Mountains in Oregon and 12 to 35 mountain quail per square kilometer in northern California.

Desired population level. Sport harvest will be used as an index to mountain quail abundance. Total harvest in BCR 9 is currently 12,560; of this total, an annual average of 3,500 are harvested in Oregon, 8,000 in California and 1,060 in Nevada. Mountain quail are not hunted in Idaho or Washington within BCR 9. The desired population level would maintain or enhance the current total mountain quail harvest in BCR 9.

Management issues and limiting factors. Primary limiting factors of mountain quail habitat in BCR 9 include: limited mountain shrubs, degraded riparian condition from livestock grazing, burning, water extraction, inappropriate recreational use levels and invasive plants. Urbanization and water distribution are also considered to be limiting factors.

Habitat objectives. Existing PIF plans, joint venture plans and state wildlife action plans specifically address the habitat needs of mountain quail. Habitat objectives for mountain quail include:

- ◆ to manage for a patchwork mosaic of interconnected mountain brush communities of native plants and appropriate seral stages on a landscape scale
- ◆ to restore natural disturbance regimes, particularly fire
- ◆ to reconnect fragmented vertical stringers to provide for contiguous elevational movements (seasonal migration) of resident bird species
- ◆ to promote growth of fruit bearing shrubs, such as hawthorn (*Crataegus* sp.), chokecherry (*Prunus virginiana*) and serviceberry (*Amelanchier alnifolia*).

Management recommendations.

- ◆ Manage and maintain corridor habitats between breeding and winter ranges of mountain quail. Many mountain quail exhibit migratory behavior between high elevation breeding ranges and spatially distinct lower elevation winter range. Suitable mountain quail habitat (shrub or early-seral forest) must be maintained between summer and winter ranges.
- ◆ Maintain or restore 30 percent or greater of the historical extent of the riparian habitat in each major watershed.
- ◆ Develop and support consistent survey techniques within BCR 9 to monitor populations and

distribution.

- ◆ Encourage interstate cooperation to expand population and distribution of mountain quail to suitable habitat using trap and transplant techniques.
- ◆ Support control of invasive plants (e.g. noxious weeds, annual grasses) that have degraded habitat and that have increased fire frequency. Decrease invasive plants on 20 percent of mountain quail range.
- ◆ Identify and encourage acquisition—by trade, fee-title purchase or conservation easement—of private lands that are of value to mountain quail and that are in danger of being developed. Summer and winter ranges, as well as corridors between them, should be considered.
- ◆ Contact and encourage all local planning authorities to protect quail habitat through appropriate zoning.
- ◆ Seek partnerships with landowners, land-management agencies and conservation organizations to protect, maintain and improve habitat for mountain quail.
- ◆ Work with land-management agencies, individuals and conservation organizations to provide water sources for quail and other wildlife in dry areas. Optimal quail range should have water sources spaced no more than 1.0 mile (1.6 km) apart.
- ◆ Manage stands of brush species for diversity of structure, for seral stage and for optimal edge effect. This may include creation of fire breaks within chaparral stands. Management can be through the use of equipment or fire.
- ◆ Manage grazing at appropriate levels to maintain suitable escape cover and some areas of habitat dominated by forbs on 20 percent of quail range.
- ◆ Protect riparian areas from inappropriate grazing by livestock, fire and excessive water extraction.

Bird Conservation Region 15: Sierra Nevada

includes portions of California and Nevada

The Sierra Nevada range rises sharply from the Great Basin on the east and slopes gently toward the Central Valley of western California. Vegetation at lower elevations is dominated by ponderosa pine in the west, lodgepole pine in the east and fir (*Abies*), spruce (*Picea*) and alpine tundra at higher elevations. Nearly the entire BCR is within California.

Mountain Quail

Current trend. Mountain quail occur in much of the Sierra Nevada BCR, except in some of the higher elevations. Breeding bird surveys within California for mountain quail show a trend that is essentially stable from 1968 through 2003. Statewide, the trend in hunter bag from California's game-take hunter survey has declined from approximately 200,000 in 1992 (earliest year that quail species were segregated in the survey) to approximately 135,000 in 2004. Some of this decrease may be due to a 15-percent drop of upland game hunters in California during this period (as indicated by upland game bird stamp sales).

Population estimate and population density. No reliable estimate of population size is available for mountain quail in BCR 15. Brennan and Block (1986) reported a density of 27.6 birds per 100 hectares at a study site in the northern Sierra Nevada.

Desired population level. Since population estimates are not available, harvest by hunters will be used as an index to quail abundance. The game-take hunter survey estimates the number of hunters and take of each species by county. The estimated average annual harvest of mountain quail during the years 2002, 2003 and 2004 in counties that extend into this BCR is 54,000. The desired population level is to maintain or enhance the existing population, as measured by the estimated harvest by hunters.

Management issues and limiting factors. Management issues and limiting factors relative to mountain quail in the Sierra Nevada BCR include the lack of disturbance through fire and logging that is needed to maintain early-successional shrubs and forbs and the loss or fragmentation of habitat through construction of residences and roads. In some cases, grazing may be negatively impacting habitat for mountain quail, particularly in meadows and riparian areas.

Habitat objectives. The "Sierra Nevada Bird Conservation Plan" (Siegel and Block 1986) lists a number of habitat objectives, some of which could be expected to benefit mountain quail. These include protecting existing, high-quality meadow, riparian and oak-woodland habitat. In addition, maintenance of early successional shrub stands and forbs could also benefit mountain quail. Additional habitat objectives have not yet been delineated.

Management recommendations.

- ◆ Identify and encourage acquisition—by trade, fee-title purchase or conservation easement—of in-holdings within public lands that are of value to mountain quail and that are in danger of development. Summer and winter ranges, as well as corridors used between them, should be considered.

- ◆ Encourage local planning agencies to protect habitat through appropriate zoning.
- ◆ Leave deciduous shrubs and trees in areas of disturbance, such as those produced by timber harvest. Discourage the use of herbicides following timber harvest.
- ◆ Protect existing shrub communities from removal to reduce fire danger or to increase timber production.
- ◆ Use periodic disturbance of shrubland habitat to maintain early seral components of up to 50 percent of stands. Disturbance should be conducted to create a mosaic to increase the amount of edge between later and early seral shrub communities. Disturbance can be mechanical or by fire.
- ◆ Manage and maintain corridor habitats between summer and winter ranges of mountain quail. Many mountain quail migrate between high elevation summer ranges and disjunct winter ranges. Suitable habitat (shrub and early-seral forest) should be maintained in summer and winter ranges.
- ◆ Provide mountain quail for transplant to other states to re-establish populations where they have been extirpated.
- ◆ Seek partnerships with landowners (especially timber companies), land-management agencies and conservation organizations to protect, maintain and improve habitat for mountain quail.

Bird Conservation Region 16: Southern Rockies and Colorado Plateau *includes portions of Arizona, Utah, Colorado, and New Mexico*

This topographically complex region includes the Wasatch and Uinta Mountains to the west and the southern Rocky Mountains to the east, separated by the rugged tablelands of the Colorado Plateau. The southern end of this BCR is marked by the Mogollon Plateau in Arizona. Various coniferous forest types (often lodgepole or ponderosa pine) are interspersed with aspen in higher elevations. These are replaced by pinyon-juniper woodlands in the lower plateaus. Quail habitats in this BCR are typically restricted to lower elevation shrublands and grasslands. Montezuma (Mearns' quail) occur along the southern boundary of this BCR and are associated with higher elevation grassland savannah and chaparral habitats.

California Quail

Current trend. California quail long-term population trends fluctuate across BCR 16. Changing landscapes affect long-term quail populations. Quail are locally abundant where suitable habitat is present.

Population estimate and population density. There is no estimate of California quail population size for BCR 16. Most populations are found in association with brushy riparian corridors, disturbed habitats or agriculture lands.

Desired population level. Sport harvest will be used as an index to California quail abundance. The desired population level would maintain or enhance the current total California quail harvest in BCR 16.

Management issues and limiting factors. Landscape changes, such as enhancements in agricultural production (clean farming methods), degraded riparian conditions due to burning and grazing practices, roads, inappropriate recreational-use levels, invasive plants and urbanization are primary issues limiting California quail populations. Water distribution may be a limiting factor. Domestic and feral cat populations are a negative affect on quail populations in areas near human habitation.

Habitat objectives. Existing PIF plans, joint venture plans and state wildlife action plans do not specifically address the habitat needs of California quail. However, the implementation of these plans will benefit the species. State game bird management plans discuss California quail habitat needs in varying degrees. Habitat objectives for BCR 16 include:

- ◆ to assess existing habitat condition
- ◆ to determine and maintain a required residual herbaceous cover height for nesting and brood rearing
- ◆ to protect riparian vegetation according to federal regulations
- ◆ to identify and maintain minimum distance between water sources in appropriate areas.

Management recommendations.

- ◆ Use existing imagery and field staff to identify existing and potential habitats and conditions.
- ◆ Plan habitat enhancements and improvements at watershed scale.
- ◆ Assist land-management agencies and private landowners to identify and implement upland and riparian habitat enhancements.

- ◆ Increase plant diversity in quail early seral habitats.
- ◆ Maintain or increase availability of dense escape and roosting cover.
- ◆ Develop riparian wildlife objectives and quail habitat best management practices; incorporate them in Farm Bill conservation program.
- ◆ In cooperation with NRCS, develop wildlife consultation services that provide quantified wildlife outputs for that agency's project proposals.
- ◆ Maintain vigorous, self-sustaining understory of grass and forbs with particular emphasis on allowing seed-set and dispersal to sustain seed-eating wildlife on 10 percent of quail range.
- ◆ Maintain site health to keep soil erosion within natural limits on 100 percent of quail range.
- ◆ Contact and encourage all local planning authorities to protect quail habitat through appropriate zoning.
- ◆ Seek partnerships with landowners, land-management agencies and conservation organizations to protect, maintain and improve habitat for California quail.
- ◆ Work with land-management agencies, individuals and conservation organizations to provide water sources for quail and other wildlife. Optimal quail range should have water sources spaced no more than 1.0 mile (1.6 km) apart.
- ◆ Where escape cover is lacking, work with land-management agencies and private landowners to provide adequate escape cover, particularly near water sources.
- ◆ Manage stands of brush species for diversity of structure and seral-stage and optimal-edges effect.
- ◆ Protect riparian areas from inappropriate grazing by livestock, fire and excessive water extraction.

Gambel's Quail

Current trend. While they occupy a relatively small portion of this BCR, Gambel's quail are apparently generally stable in BCR 16, with normal annual variation in abundance due to variability in precipitation. In Arizona, Gambel's quail occupy a limited portion of BCR 16, primarily in the western portion of the Arizona Strip, in suitable habitats north of Kingman and along the Colorado River. An isolated population of this species also occurs near Winslow. Gambel's quail numbers have increased in the Arizona Strip portion of the BCR during the past 3 years in response to above-average winter precipitation. In BCR 16, Gambel's quail also occupy a limited area in Utah along the San Juan and Colorado drainages, in a limited portion of southwestern Colorado, and in suitable habitats in northwestern New Mexico.

Population estimate population density. No population estimates exist for this species in BCR 33. Trends in harvest data and a few formal call-count surveys are the primary indices of abundance for Gambel's quail. Breeding bird survey data have been used as an additional trend index; although, the data tends to be collected after the peak calling season for this species. Density estimates from this BCR range from 0.27 birds per hectare in poor years or habitats to 0.96 birds per hectare during years of peak abundance. Additional density estimate data is a management need for this species. It is not likely that densities will achieve the maximum.

Desired population level. Sport harvest will be used as an index of Gambel's quail abundance. Total harvest in BCR 16 probably averages less than 80,000 quail annually; although, involved states do not collect harvest data at the BCR level, and administrative boundaries do not match BCR boundaries. The

bulk of this harvest is from Arizona (probably greater than 80 percent). New Mexico contributes the majority of the remaining harvest. The desired population level would maintain or enhance the current total Gambel's quail harvest in BCR 16.

Management issues and limiting factors. Unlike other BCRs that are occupied by this species, residential and urban development is a lesser threat. Precipitation levels determine annual abundance, as it does for this species throughout its range.

Habitat objectives. Habitat recommendations in the Colorado Plateau (Latta et al. 1999) will benefit Gambel's quail, particularly recommendations for habitat protection and acquisition and for noxious weed control. Protection and enhancement of desert wash and riparian habitats are key to this species' survival, as is creation and maintenance of suitable ground cover characteristics and provision of water in more arid habitats. Habitat objectives for BCR 16 include:

- ◆ to assess current habitat condition
- ◆ to identify and maintain minimum distance between water sources in appropriate areas
- ◆ to protect at least 75 percent of existing Gambel's quail habitat in this BCR from further urban development
- ◆ to accommodate wildlife movement needs when planning developments
- ◆ to develop and implement effective strategies to reduce noxious invasive plant species.

Management recommendations.

- ◆ Identify and encourage acquisition—by trade, fee-title purchase or conservation easement—of in-holdings within public lands that are of value to Gambel's quail and that are in danger of development.
- ◆ In the more arid portions of this BCR, continued construction and maintenance of artificial waters is critical for Gambel's quail production, distribution and population longevity. This would apply specifically to more arid portions of BCR 16, particularly the western portion of this species' range in Arizona.
- ◆ Work with land-management agencies and other entities to reduce harmful, invasive, plant species and noxious weeds with particular emphasis on control or eradication of Saharan mustard and red brome in BCR 33.
- ◆ Provide off-highway-vehicle (OHV) users with areas that are currently poor wildlife habitat to conduct their activities. In other areas, restrict OHV use to existing roads and designated routes. Provide law enforcement that specifically addresses resource concerns involving OHV users.
- ◆ Conduct feral-burro removal in areas this species is negatively impacting. This would include a large portion of the western portion of BCR 16 in Arizona. Not only will this recommendation benefit Gambel's quail, but other native wildlife.

Scaled Quail

Current trend. Scaled quail occur within Arizona's portion of BCR 16 but are restricted to a relatively small area along the Little Colorado River (LCR). Little harvest occurs in this area due to low bird abundance and limited distribution. Livestock use has the potential to impact abundance and distribution of scaled quail in this area. No surveys occur in the Arizona portion of BCR 16 for this species at this time.

Scaled quail are most abundant and have the widest distribution in New Mexico's portion of this BCR. Much of the occupied habitat for scaled quail in this area falls within Native American tribal jurisdictions, including the Navajo Nation.

Population estimate and population density. There are no population estimates for scaled quail in BCR 16. Population indices, such as harvest statistics and breeding bird survey data, are the only current indices available. Refinement of harvest data to collect this information at the BCR level is not possible at this time. In Arizona, calling of males is greatly influenced by the timing of precipitation and is so variable during breeding bird survey route surveys that the data may be of little value. Density estimates for scaled quail vary from 0.04 to 2.5 birds per hectare. Since they occupy such a wide variety of habitats throughout their range and since habitat structure varies by region, additional density estimate data at the BCR level is a management need for this species.

Desired population level. Sport harvest will continue to be used as an index of scaled quail abundance in this BCR; although, refinement of harvest data (BCR-, game-management-unit or county-based), and an independent index are management needs. This BCR represents a small fraction of both Arizona (less than 5 percent) and New Mexico scaled quail harvest. The desired population level objective for this BCR is to increase habitat suitability, distribution and abundance so that an annual average harvest of 10,000 could be supported.

Management issues and limiting factors. Scaled quail are restricted to areas of the Colorado Plateau's mixed grassland and shrublands along the LCR in Arizona's portion of BCR 16. Past and current livestock management practices and prolonged drought continue to impact habitat quality and bird abundance in this region. Scaled quail occupy similar areas in New Mexico's portion of the BCR, primarily in grassland habitats below 7,000 feet (2,133.6 m). Dispersed, semirural subdivisions are increasing in the Arizona portion of this BCR. While the impact of this development is undocumented for this species in this area, issues surrounding direct habitat loss and free ranging cats and dogs (*Canis lupus familiaris*) are likely impacting scaled quail.

Habitat objectives. Habitat recommendations in "Desert Grasslands" ([Arizona Partners in Flight YEAR](#)) will benefit scaled quail and other desert grassland species in this BCR. Additionally, recommendations for habitat protection, acquisition and noxious weed control will benefit scaled quail. Protection and enhancement of desert grassland habitats are key to this species' survival, as is creation and maintenance of suitable ground cover. Provision of water developments continues to be debated but may benefit this species in more arid portions of its range. Habitat objectives for BCR 34 include:

- ◆ to assess current habitat condition
- ◆ to continue to assess and address shrub encroachment issues in desert grasslands within this BCR
- ◆ to re-establish native bunchgrass habitats where possible
- ◆ to identify and maintain minimum distance between water sources in appropriate areas
- ◆ to protect at least 75 percent of existing scaled quail habitat in this BCR from further urban development
- ◆ to accommodate wildlife movement needs when planning developments
- ◆ to develop and implement effective strategies to reduce noxious invasive plant species

- ◆ to manage livestock levels to accommodate scaled quail.

Management recommendations.

- ◆ Institute livestock management practices in BCR 16 that enhance, rather than reduce, scaled quail habitats. Livestock stocking levels should be adjusted downward in periods of drought, so minimal habitat requirements for this species are met.
- ◆ Implement annual monitoring throughout the area to assess condition.
- ◆ Convert 15 percent of shrub invaded grasslands to proper condition for this species in BCR 16 by 2030. Shrub reduction programs should be conducted in a manner that does not increase invasive grasses.
- ◆ Work with land-management agencies and with other entities to reduce harmful invasive plant species and noxious weeds in BCR 16.
- ◆ Assess and address identified water development needs.
- ◆ Identify and encourage acquisition—by trade, fee-title purchase or conservation easement—of private lands that are of value to scaled quail and that are in danger of development.
- ◆ Work on legislation to protect state or federal lands in the Southwest from sale, trade or development.
- ◆ Work with county and city zoning boards to ensure the needs of this and other wildlife species are met, including considerations for habitat connectivity and adequate patch size.
- ◆ Work with county and city zoning boards and land-management agencies to ensure lands remain open to quail hunting. Since sportsmen and sportswomen represent the major funding engine for wildlife management in North America, with no foreseeable alternatives, maintaining huntable populations of game species is imperative for stakeholder engagement and continued wildlife conservation and management throughout the United States, Canada and Mexico.

Montezuma Quail

Current trend. Historical evidence suggests Montezuma quail were more abundant and more widely distributed throughout the southern portion of BCR 16 than they are currently. Recent anecdotal information suggests these quail may be increasing in portions of the BCR due to large-scale wildfires.

Population estimate and population density. Of all the North American quail species, Montezuma quail are likely the most difficult to detect due to their habits. Outside of harvest monitoring, the only reliable method to estimate abundance or trend is the use of trained pointing dogs. This method is labor and time intensive and is not practical to apply at large scales.

Desired population level. Sport harvest will be used to index Montezuma quail abundance. Total harvest in BCR 16 likely represents less than 5 percent of the harvest for this species, the majority of which is taken in Arizona. The population goal for Montezuma quail in this BCR depends on increasing habitat and improving habitat conditions to support an annual harvest of at least 10,000 quail annually. Most lands are under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Department of the Interior, Forest Service.

Management issues and limiting factors. Limiting factors include the lack of periodic disturbance (fire) in forested habitats necessary to maintain the savannah-like structure Montezuma quail prefer. Most

potential habitats in this BCR are dominated by dense stands of ponderosa pine and are currently unsuitable. In addition, grass cover throughout much of this region is inadequate to meet the needs of this species due to grazing pressure by both livestock and elk.

Habitat objectives. The current distribution and abundance of Montezuma quail in BCR 16 will be increased through manipulation of existing habitats along the Mogollon Rim. Managers must maintain a significant portion of forested habitats in savannah stage and must increase connectivity between isolated populations through increased habitat manipulation. Large scale wildfires in the late 1990s and early 2000s have opened some of the areas along the Mogollon Rim, improving conditions for this species. Active fire management in areas that have experienced large-scale die-offs of pine (both pinyon and ponderosa) due to drought and bark beetles is necessary to reduce the scale of these fires.

Management recommendations.

- ◆ For horizontal herbaceous cover, manage grazed lands in Montezuma quail habitat to maintain greater than 50 percent of horizontal canopy cover of grasses.
- ◆ For vertical herbaceous cover (visual obstruction), manage grazed lands in Montezuma quail habitat to provide greater than 50 percent of canopy cover of grass heights from 8 to 20 inches (20.3–50.8 cm) for escape, nesting, brood rearing and roosting cover.
- ◆ For species richness, manage grazed lands in Montezuma quail habitat. Recommend maintaining or restoring five or more native perennial bunchgrass species in Montezuma habitats. Native forb and tree species diversity should be maintained or enhanced.
- ◆ For tree canopy, manage fire and fuelwood programs to maintain a minimum of 25 percent tree canopy cover in Montezuma quail habitat. Areas with tree canopy of up to 75 percent are frequented by Montezuma quail.

Bird Conservation Region 18: Shortgrass Prairie *includes portions of Colorado, Kansas, Oklahoma, Texas and New Mexico*

The Shortgrass Prairie lies in the rainshadow of the Rocky Mountains, where arid conditions greatly limit the stature and diversity of vegetation. Numerous rivers, such as the Platte River, drain out of the Rocky Mountains through this region toward the Mississippi Valley. Hydrological simplification has resulted in the invasion of trees and shrubs that support eastern breeding riparian birds. This arid grassland with invading shrubs is also home to scaled quail stretching from Colorado-Kansas border southward across the Oklahoma panhandle down through eastern New Mexico and the Texas panhandle.

Scaled Quail

Current trend. Scaled quail populations have been decreasing across this BCR with the possible exception of southeastern New Mexico. Population declines have been attributed to severe drought and habitat loss due to over grazing or conversion.

Scaled quail are found in huntable numbers in extreme southwestern Kansas in the vicinity of Cimarron National Grassland. In Colorado, scaled quail occur in low to high densities within the southern half of BCR 18. Nearly all the occupied scaled quail range in Colorado is deemed agricultural land and is primarily used for livestock grazing or for nonirrigated crop production. Quail densities are low across much of this area, but high densities can occur anywhere specific habitat types are abundant, including sand-sage rangeland and cholla cactus grasslands. In Oklahoma, the highest populations historically were in the panhandle and counties stretching southward along the Oklahoma Texas border. Roadside surveys over the last few years have recorded observations of scaled quail only in Cimarron, Texas, Beaver and Greer counties of Oklahoma. Scaled quail are found over the extent of BCR 18 in New Mexico and Texas where favorable shrub-grassland exists.

Population estimates and population density. There are no recent population estimates available for scaled quail across this BCR except for harvest data collected in Colorado and New Mexico. New Mexico's harvest is spread over four regions. And, harvest data in Kansas, Oklahoma and Texas are a combination of bobwhite and scaled quail.

Population estimates for two adjacent areas in New Mexico over an 8-year period were 1 bird per 8.9 hectares and 1 bird per 11.7 hectares (Cambell et al. 1973). Schemnitz (1993) reported 0.47 quail per hectare in 1954 through 1956 compared to 0.23 quail per hectare in 1991 through 1992 on a 125-square-kilometer area in the Oklahoma panhandle. These estimates are not intended to represent this BCR, but they allow some understanding of populations in two states during the early 1990s. Research into current population levels is an important need in this BCR.

Desired population level. Since declining numbers of scaled quail are reported across this BCR, a goal to increase the population to a level comparable to the early 1990s is desirable. The population objective for Colorado is to support an average annual harvest of 12,000 quail by increasing densities within or adjacent to core habitat types.

Management issues and limiting factors. Over grazing by livestock, drought and conversion of the shrub-cactus-grassland to straight grassland for grazing or for Conservation Reserve Program lands are central to the density and abundance of scaled quail. Grazing and drought impacts to populations are more harmful in secondary habitats and are less significant in core habitats of sand-sage rangeland and shrub-grasslands. Also, development along the front range in Colorado and elsewhere along with future energy exploration and development may result in additional habitat loss.

Habitat objectives. One habitat objective is to maintain existing shrub-grassland, sand-sage rangeland and cholla-grassland communities. Protection and enhancement of these habitats are key to this species' survival, as is creation and maintenance of suitable ground cover characteristics. Habitat objectives for BCR 18 include:

- ◆ assess current habitat conditions
- ◆ identify core habitat areas and other areas that can be improved in a cost-effective manner
- ◆ manage livestock grazing to improve the quality and quantity of scaled quail habitat
- ◆ protect core habitat areas from urban development, crop production and conversion to dense grassland for grazing
- ◆ maintain vegetation communities in early seral stages that are beneficial to scaled quail
- ◆ develop and implement strategies that reduce noxious invasive plant species.

Management recommendations.

- ◆ Manage shrub-grassland, sand-sage rangeland, cholla- grassland communities at appropriate levels to maintain suitable habitat for scaled quail.
- ◆ Modify existing livestock grazing to promote habitat conditions for scaled quail.
- ◆ Implement annual monitoring to assess grassland condition.
- ◆ Provide incentives for private landowners to create habitat for scaled quail.
- ◆ Provide incentives for private landowners to open land to public hunting through walk-in access programs.
- ◆ Conduct an assessment of scaled quail production, recruitment and annual survival rates in addition to determination of quail density by habitat type.
- ◆ Conduct a review of effective habitat improvement projects that increase densities of scaled quail without increasing invasive grass or noxious weed communities.

Bird Conservation Region 32: Coastal California

This BCR includes the coastal areas of California, below the northern Pacific rainforest. It also extends into the center part of the state to include the Central Valley. It has a Mediterranean climate of hot, dry summers and cool, moist winters.

California Quail

Current trend. California quail occur in much of this BCR, where suitable habitat still exists. Breeding bird surveys within California from 1968 to 2003 indicate a trend that is generally stable. Statewide, the trend in hunter bag as estimated by California's game-take hunter survey has declined from approximately 1,000,000 in 1992 (the earliest year in which the quail species were segregated in the survey) to approximately 494,000 in 2004. Some of this decline likely is due to a 15-percent drop of upland game bird hunters, indicated by upland game bird stamp sales, during this period.

Population estimate and population density. No reliable population estimate is available for this BCR. Densities of California quail in various study sites have been reported by Calkins et al. (1999) as ranging from fewer than or equal to 0.023 birds per hectare to more than or equal to 0.05 birds per hectare.

Desired population level. Since population estimates are not available, harvest by hunters will be used as an index to quail abundance. The "game take hunter survey" estimates the number of hunters and take of each species by county. Because this BCR includes only portions of a number of counties, it is difficult to estimate the harvest by hunters. A rough estimate of average, annual harvest in this BCR during the years 2002, 2003 and 2004 is 200,000 birds. The desired population level will require maintaining or enhancing the existing population

Management issues and limiting factors. Management issues and limiting factors relative to California quail in this BCR include loss or fragmentation of habitat through construction of residential areas and other development, increasingly intensive agriculture, limited water in drier locations, lack of structural and seral stage diversity in shrub stands, inappropriate grazing levels, invasion of exotic grass species, and degradation of riparian habitat.

Habitat objectives. Habitat recommendations in the "Coastal Scrub and Chaparral Conservation Plan" (California Partners in Flight 2004) could be expected to be beneficial to California quail, particularly recommendations for habitat protection and acquisition, and for restoration of natural fire regimes that will provide diverse seral stages and structural conditions. Additional habitat objectives have not yet been delineated.

Management recommendations.

- ◆ Identify and encourage acquisition—by trade, fee-title purchase or conservation easement—of private lands that are particularly valuable to quail and that are in danger of conversion to uses that would decrease value as quail habitat.
- ◆ Encourage local planning authorities to protect quail habitat through appropriate zoning.
- ◆ Seek partnerships with landowners, land-management agencies and conservation organizations to

- ◆ protect, maintain and improve habitat for California quail.
- ◆ Work with land management agencies, individuals and conservation organizations, such as Quail Unlimited, to provide water sources for quail and for other wildlife in dry areas. Optimal quail range should have water sources spaced no more than 1 mile (1.6 km) apart.
- ◆ Where escape cover is lacking, work with land management agencies and private landowners to provide adequate escape cover, particularly near water sources.
- ◆ Manage stands of brush species for diversity of structure, seral stage and optimal edge effect. This may include creation of fire breaks within chaparral stands. Management can be through the use of equipment or fire.
- ◆ Manage grazing at appropriate levels to maintain suitable escape cover and areas of habitat dominated by forbs, rather than annual grasses.
- ◆ Protect riparian areas from overgrazing by livestock, from fire and from excessive water extraction.

Mountain Quail

Current trend. Mountain quail occur in a considerable portion of this BCR, although not within the Central Valley or in the immediate vicinity of the coast in southern California. Breeding bird surveys within California for mountain quail show a trend that is stable from 1968 through 2003. Statewide, the trend in hunter bag as indicated by California's game-take hunter survey has declined from approximately 200,000 in 1992 (earliest year that quail species were segregated in the survey) to approximately 135,000 in 2004. Some of this decrease may be due to a 15-percent drop in upland game hunters in California during this period, as indicated by upland game bird stamp sales.

Population estimate and population density. No reliable estimate of population size is available for BCR 32. Densities reported in other locations within mountain quail range may be similar to those within this BCR. Brennan (1990) reported that densities in northern California ranged from 12 to 35 quail per square kilometers. Pope (2002) postulated that there were more than 5 quail per square kilometer in Hells Canyon and more than 15 quail per square kilometer in the southern Cascade Mountains of Oregon.

Desired population level. Since population estimates are not available, estimated harvest by hunters will be used as an index to quail abundance. The game-take hunter survey estimates the number of hunters and take of each species by county. A rough estimate of the average annual harvest in this BCR during the years 2002, 2003 and 2004 is 17,000 mountain quail. The desired population level is to maintain or enhance the existing population, as measured by the harvest by hunters.

Management issues and limiting factors. Management issues and limiting factors relative to mountain quail in this BCR include loss or fragmentation of habitat through construction of residential areas or other development, limited water in drier locations, lack of structural and seral stage diversity in shrub stands, inappropriate grazing levels, invasion of exotic grass species and degradation of riparian habitat.

Habitat objectives. As for California quail, habitat recommendation in "Coastal Scrub and Chaparral Conservation Plan" (California Partners in Flight 2004) could be expected to be beneficial to mountain quail, including recommendations for habitat protection and acquisition, and for restoration of natural fire

regimes that will provide diverse seral stages and structural conditions. In addition, a bird conservation plan specifically directed at mountain quail has been prepared in conjunction with this conservation plan (Winter 2002). Some management issues and concerns listed in that plan are incorporated into the management recommendations listed below.

Management recommendations.

- ◆ Identify and encourage acquisition—by trade, fee-title purchase or conservation easement—private lands that are of value to mountain quail, and that are in danger of being developed. Summer and winter ranges, as well as corridors between them, should be considered.
- ◆ Encourage local planning authorities to protect quail habitat through appropriate zoning.
- ◆ Seek partnerships with landowners, land-management agencies and conservation organizations to protect, maintain, and improve habitat for mountain quail.
- ◆ Work with land-management agencies, individuals, and conservation organizations (such as Quail Unlimited) to provide water sources for quail and other wildlife in dry areas. Optimal quail range should have water sources spaced no more than 1 mile (1.6 km) apart.
- ◆ Manage stands of brush species for diversity of structure and seral stage, and optimal edge effect. This may include creation of fire breaks within chaparral stands. Management can be through the use of equipment or fire.
- ◆ Manage grazing at appropriate levels that result in the maintenance of suitable escape cover and some areas of habitat dominated by forbs, rather than annual grasses.
- ◆ Protect riparian areas from over-grazing by livestock, fire and excessive water extraction.
- ◆ Where feasible, provide mountain quail as source stock to other states to help their efforts to re-establish mountain quail.

Bird Conservation Region 33: Sonoran and Mohave Desert *includes portions of Arizona, Nevada and California*

The Mohave Desert covers southeastern California and southern Nevada and adjoins the Sonoran Desert, which extends from southwestern Arizona south on both sides of the Gulf of California into the Mexican states of Baja California, Sonora and Sinaloa. This arid region is dominated by cacti (*Family cactaceae*), slow-growing grasses, creosote bush (*Larrea tridentata*) and other desert shrubs. The Colorado River and adjacent wetlands provide habitat for ducks and other wetland birds, including some of the most important habitat in the arid southwest for western and Clark's Grebes (*Aechmophorus occidentalis*, *Aechmophorus clarkii*) and American avocets (*Recurvirostra americana*). Riparian habitats in this and other portions of this BCR also provide habitat critical for Gambel's quail. Sonoran upland habitats in the eastcentral portion of this BCR (central Arizona) produce the greatest densities of Gambel's quail in the world. Although the ranges of mountain quail and California quail may extend into the extreme western portion of this BCR, Gambel's quail are the focus here.

Gambel's Quail

Current trend. This BCR, coupled with BCR 34 to the east, likely contains more than 90 percent of the world's population of Gambel's quail. The trend for this species is considered to be stable at this time; although, abundance was likely much higher in the past, prior to major water diversions for agriculture and riparian degradation.

Population estimate and population density. No population estimates exist for this species in BCR 33. Trends in harvest data and a few formal call-count surveys are the primary indices of abundance for Gambel's quail. Breeding bird survey data have been used as an additional trend index; although, the data tends to be collected after the peak calling season for this species. Density estimates from this BCR range from 0.27 birds per hectare in poor years or habitats to 2.96 birds per hectare during years of peak abundance. Additional density estimate data is a management need for this species.

Desired population level. Sport harvest will be used as an index of Gambel's quail abundance. Total average harvest in BCR 33 is roughly 700,000. The bulk of this harvest is from Arizona (approximately 600,000). California and Nevada contribute around 55,000 and 45,000 to this total annually. The desired population level would maintain or enhance the current total Gambel's quail harvest in BCR 33.

Management issues and limiting factors. The biggest threat to Gambel's quail is the rate at which the desert Southwest is being developed and the alarmingly rapid human population growth. In Arizona, a significant portion of occupied Gambel's quail habitat has been or is facing the threat of urban development. Continued development will have significant impacts on species distribution, abundance and harvest opportunity.

Habitat objectives. Habitat recommendations for habitat protection and acquisition and for noxious weed control will benefit Gambel's quail and are consistent with recommendations for other species in BCR 33 (Latta et al. 1999). Protection and enhancement of desert wash and riparian habitats are key to this species survival, as is creation and maintenance of suitable ground cover characteristics and provision of

water in more arid habitats. Habitat objectives for BCR 33 include:

- ◆ to assess current habitat condition
- ◆ to identify and maintain minimum distance between water sources in appropriate areas
- ◆ to protect at least 75 percent of existing Gambel's quail habitat in this BCR from further urban development
- ◆ to accommodate wildlife movement needs when planning developments
- ◆ to develop and implement effective strategies to reduce red brome and other noxious invasive plant species.

Management recommendations.

- ◆ Identify and encourage acquisition—by trade, fee-title purchase or conservation easement—of in-holdings within public lands that are of value to Gambel's quail and that are in danger of development.
- ◆ Work on legislation to protect state or federal lands in the Southwest from sale, trade or development. In Arizona, areas between Phoenix and Tucson and areas between Phoenix and Kingman are particularly vulnerable to further development at a vast scale. They represent one of the most rapidly growing portions of the United States. These lands represent a large percentage of core range for Gambel's quail and for other Sonoran Desert species.
- ◆ Work with county and city zoning boards to ensure the needs of this and other wildlife species are met, including considerations for habitat connectivity and adequate patch size.
- ◆ Work with county and city zoning boards and land-management agencies to ensure lands remain open to quail hunting. Since sportsmen and sportswomen represent the major funding engine for wildlife management in North America, with no foreseeable alternatives, maintaining huntable populations of game species is imperative for stakeholder engagement and for continued wildlife conservation and management throughout the United States, Canada and Mexico.
- ◆ In the more arid portions of this BCR, continued construction and maintenance of artificial waters is critical for Gambel's quail production, distribution and population longevity. This would include the western portion of this species' range in Arizona and the majority of occupied Gambel's quail habitat in California and Nevada.
- ◆ Work with land-management agencies and other entities to reduce harmful, invasive, plant species and noxious weeds with particular emphasis on control or eradication of Saharan mustard and red brome in BCR 33.
- ◆ Provide OHV users with areas that are currently poor wildlife habitat to conduct their activities. In other areas, restrict OHV use to existing roads and to designated routes. Provide law enforcement to specifically addresses resource concerns involving OHV users.
- ◆ Conduct feral-burro removal in areas this species is negatively impacting. This would include a large portion of the western half of BCR 33 in Arizona. Not only will this recommendation benefit Gambel's quail, but other native Sonoran and Mojave Desert species.

Masked Bobwhite

Current trend. While no rangewide survey information exists, masked bobwhite populations have declined in central Sonora and on the Buenos Aires National Wildlife Refuge.

Population estimate and population density. No good estimate of population numbers exists. However, population levels appear to be extremely low, perhaps nearing extinction.

Desired population level. The Masked Bobwhite Recovery Plan (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1995) considers the subspecies to be re-established when a population of at least 500 masked bobwhite inhabit the Buenos Aires National Wildlife Refuge. At that point, a second site would be selected for the reintroduction of a second population.

In Sonora, the emphasis is on preserving and restoring two or more viable populations. Downlisting from endangered to threatened status would be considered when four separate, viable populations are established (two in the United States and two in Mexico). They also must have been maintained for 10 consecutive years.

Management issues and limiting factors. Issues pertinent to the establishment of viable populations in Arizona include prolonged drought, invasion of velvet mesquite (*Prosopis velutina*), prevalence and invasiveness of nonnative grasses (particularly Lehmanns' lovegrass (*Eragrostis lehmannii*), lack of diversity of leguminous shrubs, and lack of winter rain. In addition, extremely high densities of predators (avian, mammalian and reptilian) may be contributing to low population densities.

Sonoran issues are integrally related to extreme drought coupled with continued cattle grazing and the planting of buffelgrass for cattle forage. This has resulted in loss of plant diversity and, ultimately, bobwhite habitat.

Habitat objectives.

Habitat objectives for this BCR include:

- ◆ to assess habitat conditions
- ◆ to reduce mesquite encroachment in desert grasslands
- ◆ to reduce nonnative grasses
- ◆ to re-establish native perennial bunchgrasses
- ◆ to establish native food plants, such as leguminous shrubs and native forbs
- ◆ to provide adequate hiding, thermal and nesting cover, either through native plants or through artificial means, such as brush piles
- ◆ to assess water distribution and to provide for water needs as necessary
- ◆ to assure adequate interspersions of food, cover and water needed by masked bobwhites
- ◆ to create or maintain stands of vegetation consisting of 15- to 30-percent woody vegetation, at least 15-percent forb cover, at least 15-percent native grass cover and between 0- and 25-percent unobstructed bare ground
- ◆ to create or maintain diverse stands of native vegetation consisting of a minimum of 8 native perennial grass species, a minimum of 12 perennial forb species and a minimum of 3 midstory shrub or tree species
- ◆ to manage livestock stocking rates and grazing regimes to permit coexistence of livestock and masked bobwhite.

Management recommendations.

Arizona.

- ◆ Utilize prescribed fire to stimulate growth of forbs and seed-producing plants.
- ◆ Plant appropriate food or cover plants for bobwhite.
- ◆ Implement traditional habitat management techniques, such as disking, mowing and aeration to improve production of food plants.
- ◆ Create brush piles or implement half-cutting to improve cover where needed.
- ◆ Provide water catchments wherever needed.
- ◆ Reduce cover of nonnative grasses and noxious weeds.
- ◆ Assess the predator base and implement reduction, if needed.

Mexico.

- ◆ Establish conservation easements or purchase ranches in core bobwhite areas.
- ◆ Provide for movement corridors between populations.
- ◆ Reduce buffelgrass and re-establish native grass.
- ◆ Provide for water catchments in extremely arid areas.
- ◆ Reduce or eliminate grazing and the development of rotational grazing systems for livestock in core bobwhite areas.
- ◆ Plant appropriate food or cover plants for bobwhites where needed.
- ◆ Implement disking, mowing, aeration and, possibly, prescribed fire to improve production of food plants.
- ◆ Support continued predator reductions if needed.

Bird Conservation Region 34: Sierra Madre Occidental

includes portions of Arizona and New Mexico

The Sierra Madre Occidental mountain range runs northwest to southeast parallel to the Pacific Coast from the Mogollon Rim and isolated mountain ranges in southeastern Arizona and southwestern New Mexico through Sonora to central Mexico. It is characterized by high elevations and a complex topography with the presence of oak-pine, pine, and fir forests along the mountain range and of semiarid scrub habitats on eastern slopes. Most uplands in the United States are publicly owned, but lower-elevation grasslands and riparian habitat are subject to development and conversion. The whole region is an important corridor for migration of many species in the west.

Montezuma Quail

Current trend. Current management of the majority of the Montezuma quail habitats in this BCR provide for a stable, overall trend in abundance, with annual variation due to precipitation patterns. The majority of Montezuma quail habitats in this BCR fall under the jurisdiction of the Coronado National Forest.

Population estimate and population density. No population estimates exist for this species. Of all the North American quail species, Montezuma quail are likely the most difficult to detect and survey due to their secretive habits. Outside of harvest monitoring, the only reliable method to estimate density is the use of trained pointing dogs. This method is labor and time intensive, is not practical to apply at large scales, and suffers from variations associated with observer and dog abilities.

Desired population level. Sport harvest and hunter effort will continue to be used as index of Montezuma quail abundance. Total harvest in BCR 34 currently likely represents 90 percent of the harvest for this species; the majority of which is taken in Arizona. The desired population objective for Montezuma quail in this BCR is to maintain or improve habitat and abundance so that this population can support an average sustained harvest of at least 30,000 quail annually.

Management issues and limiting factors. Limiting factors for this species in BCR 34 primarily involve precipitation and grass cover height. Livestock grazing has been a significant concern in this area in the past, but has lessened in recent years with the adoption of the Mearns' quail habitat guidelines by the Coronado National Forest.

Habitat objectives. The current distribution and abundance of Montezuma quail in BCR 34 will be maintained through continued application of the Mearns' Quail Habitat Guidelines by the Coronado National Forest. Habitat objectives for BCR 34 include:

- ◆ to continue to apply the Mearns' quail habitat guidelines throughout potential and occupied habitats
- ◆ to adjust livestock use downward during drought years, so the grass cover needs of this species are met
- ◆ to monitor and map invasive grass distribution
- ◆ to develop control strategies and to aggressively manage invasive grass species to reduce their distribution.
- ◆ to convert 10 percent of potential Montezuma quail habitat areas with invasive grasses to native

bunchgrass habitats by 2020.

Management recommendations.

- ◆ Manage grazed lands in Montezuma quail habitat to maintain greater than 50 percent as horizontal canopy cover of grass.
- ◆ Manage grazed lands in Montezuma quail habitat to provide greater than 50 percent canopy cover of grass heights from 8 to 20 inches (20.3–50.8 cm) for escape, nesting, brood rearing and roosting cover.
- ◆ Manage grazed lands in Montezuma quail habitat to maintain or improve species richness.
- ◆ Maintain or restore at least five native perennial bunchgrass species in Montezuma quail habitat. Native forb and tree species diversity should be maintained or enhanced.
- ◆ Maintain the necessary security and thermal cover and the necessary microclimate for the forbs Montezuma quail feed on. Manage fire and fuelwood programs to maintain a minimum of 25-percent tree canopy cover in Montezuma quail habitat. Areas with tree canopy of up to 75 percent are frequented by Montezuma quail.

Gambel's Quail

Current trend. While this species does not reach the abundance found in BCR 33, Gambel's quail are still common throughout much of the lower elevations found in the BCR. Recent droughts, particularly during winter months, have reduced overall numbers of Gambel's quail in BCR 34. A return to more normal precipitation will increase abundance, provided habitat is protected from conversion.

Population estimate and population density. No population estimates exist for this species in BCR 34. Trends in harvest data and a few formal call-count surveys are the primary indices of abundance for Gambel's quail. Breeding bird survey data have been used as an additional trend index; although, the data tends to be collected after the peak calling season for this species. Documented density estimates range from 0.27 birds per hectare in poor years or habitats to 2.96 birds per hectare during years of peak abundance. Additional density estimate data is a management need for this species.

Desired population level. Sport harvest will be used as an index of Gambel's quail abundance. Total average annual harvest in BCR 34 is roughly 100,000. The bulk of which is taken in Arizona. New Mexico contributes a small percentage of annual harvest in this BCR. The desired population level would maintain or enhance the current total Gambel's quail harvest in BCR 33.

Management issues and limiting factors. The biggest threat to Gambel's quail is the rate at which the desert Southwest is being developed and the alarmingly rapid human population growth. In Arizona, a significant portion of occupied Gambel's quail habitat has been or is facing the threat of urban development. Continued development will have significant impacts on both species distribution and abundance and on harvest opportunity.

Habitat objectives. Habitat recommendations, made by PIF, for Sonoran desert scrub will benefit Gambel's quail, as will recommendations for general habitat protection, acquisition and noxious weed control. Protection and enhancement of desert wash and riparian habitats are key to this species survival,

as is creation and maintenance of suitable ground cover characteristics. Habitat objectives for BCR 34 include:

- ◆ to assess current habitat condition
- ◆ to identify and maintain minimum distance between water sources in appropriate areas; much of this area will require little additional water
- ◆ to protect at least 75 percent of existing Gambel's quail habitat in this BCR from further urban development
- ◆ to accommodate wildlife movement needs when planning developments
- ◆ to develop and implement effective strategies to reduce noxious invasive plant species.

Management recommendations.

- ◆ Identify and encourage acquisition—by trade, fee-title purchase or conservation easement—of in-holdings within public lands that are of value to Gambel's quail and that are in danger of development.
- ◆ Work on legislation to protect state or federal lands in BCR 34 from sale, trade or development. In Arizona, areas between Phoenix and Tucson are particularly vulnerable to further development at a vast scale, and they represent one of the most rapidly growing portions of the United States. These lands represent a large percentage of core range for Gambel's quail and for other Sonoran Desert species.
- ◆ Work with county and city zoning boards to ensure the needs of this and of other wildlife species are met, including considerations for habitat connectivity and adequate patch size.
- ◆ Work with county and city zoning boards and land-management agencies to ensure lands remain open to quail hunting. Since sportsmen and sportswomen represent the major funding engine for wildlife management in North America, with no foreseeable alternatives, maintaining huntable populations of game species is imperative for stakeholder engagement and for continued wildlife conservation and management throughout the United States, Canada and Mexico.
- ◆ Work with land-management agencies and other entities to reduce harmful invasive plant species and noxious weeds, with particular emphasis on control and eradication of certain species such as Lehmann's lovegrass and bufflegass, in BCR 34.
- ◆ Provide OHV users with areas that are poor wildlife habitat to conduct their activities. In other areas, restrict OHV use to existing roads and designated routes. Provide law enforcement to specifically address resource concerns involving OHV users.

Scaled Quail

Current trend. Scaled quail, apparently, are declining throughout their range in response to habitat type conversions. Scaled quail abundance and distribution in Arizona is greatest in BCR 34. These birds are associated with Chihuahuan Desert grasslands of southeastern Arizona, particularly in the Sulphur Springs and San Bernardino Valleys. Scaled quail also remain relatively common in suitable habitats east of Tucson, near the towns of Sonoita and Tombstone, and they are on and around the Buenos Aires National Wildlife Refuge. The population of scaled quail found north of Oracle has declined dramatically due to habitat conversion. This population will likely be extirpated relatively soon due to planned developments in the area.

Population estimate and population density. There are no population estimates for scaled quail in BCR 34. Population indices, such as harvest statistics and breeding bird survey data, are the only current indices available. Calling of males is greatly influenced by spring and summer precipitation levels and is so variable that these data may be of little value. Density estimates for scaled quail vary from 0.04 to 2.50 per hectare. Additional density estimate data is a management need for this species in BCR 34.

Desired population level. Sport harvest will continue to be used as an index of scaled quail abundance in this BCR; although, an independent index is a management need. This BCR represents the bulk of scaled quail harvest in Arizona, probably in excess of 95 percent. Average scaled quail harvest since 1991 is around 47,000, but has averaged significantly lower (approximately 30,000) in recent years. The desired population level objective for this BCR is to increase habitat suitability and abundance, so an annual average harvest of 45,000 could be supported.

Management issues and limiting factors. The major limiting factors for this species involve drought and overuse of Chihuahuan grasslands by livestock and corresponding type conversion (grassland to shrublands). Human development is also increasingly reducing habitat availability for this species but at a lower rate than for Gambel's quail. Effects of invasive grass species and reduction of native perennial bunchgrass also negatively impacts this species.

Habitat objectives. Habitat recommendations, made by the Arizona PIF, in Desert grasslands will benefit scaled quail and other desert grassland species in this BCR. Additional recommendations follow. Protection and enhancement of desert grassland habitats are key to this species survival, as is creation and maintenance of suitable ground cover characteristics. Provision of water developments continues to be debated but may benefit this species in more arid portions of its range. Habitat objectives for BCR 34 include:

- ◆ to assess current habitat condition
- ◆ to continue to assess and address shrub encroachment in the Sulphur Springs and San Bernardino valleys
- ◆ to reestablish native bunchgrass habitats where possible
- ◆ to identify and maintain minimum distance between water sources in appropriate areas
- ◆ to protect at least 75 percent of existing scaled quail habitat in this BCR from further urban development
- ◆ to accommodate wildlife movement needs when planning developments
- ◆ to develop and implement effective strategies to reduce noxious invasive plant species
- ◆ to manage livestock levels to accommodate scaled quail.

Management recommendations.

- ◆ Manage shrub and grassland components at appropriate levels to maintain existing suitable habitat for scaled quail.
- ◆ Modify existing livestock grazing to promote habitat conditions for scaled quail. Implement annual monitoring throughout the area to assess condition.
- ◆ Convert 15 percent of shrub-invaded grassland to proper condition for this species in BCR 34 by 2030. Shrub-reduction programs should be conducted in a manner that does not increase invasive

- grasses.
- ◆ Work with land-management agencies and with other entities to reduce harmful invasive plant species and noxious weeds, with particular emphasis on control and eradication of species such as Lehmann's lovegrass and buffelgrass, in BCR 34.
 - ◆ Assess and address identified water development needs.
 - ◆ Identify and encourage acquisition—by trade, fee-title purchase or conservation easement—of private lands that are of value to scaled quail and that are in danger of development.
 - ◆ Work on legislation to protect state or federal lands in the Southwest from sale, trade or development.
 - ◆ Work with county and city zoning boards to ensure the needs of this and other wildlife species are met, including considerations for habitat connectivity and adequate patch size.
 - ◆ Work with county and city zoning boards and with land-management agencies to ensure lands remain open to quail hunting. Since sportsmen and sportswomen represent the major funding engine for wildlife management in North America, with no foreseeable alternatives, maintaining huntable populations of game species is imperative for stakeholder engagement and for continued wildlife conservation and management throughout the United States, Canada and Mexico.

Masked Bobwhite

Current trend. While no rangewide survey information exists, masked bobwhite populations have declined in central Sonora and on the Buenos Aires National Wildlife Refuge.

Population estimate and population density. No good estimate of population numbers exists. However, population levels appear to be extremely low, perhaps nearing extinction.

Desired population level. The Masked Bobwhite Recovery Plan (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1995) considers the subspecies to be re-established when a population of at least 500 masked bobwhites inhabit the Buenos Aires National Wildlife Refuge. At that point, a second site would be selected for the reintroduction of a second population.

In Sonora, the emphasis is on preserving and restoring two or more viable populations. Downlisting from endangered to threatened status would be considered when four separate, viable populations are established (two in the United States and two in Mexico). They also must have been maintained for 10 consecutive years.

Management issues and limiting factors. Issues pertinent to the establishment of viable populations in Arizona include prolonged drought, invasion of velvet mesquite, prevalence and invasiveness of nonnative grasses (particularly Lehmann's lovegrass, lack of diversity of leguminous shrubs, and lack of winter rain. In addition, extremely high densities of predators (avian, mammalian and reptilian) may be contributing to low population densities.

Sonoran issues are integrally related to extreme drought coupled with continued cattle grazing and the planting of buffelgrass for cattle forage. This has resulted in loss of plant diversity and, ultimately, bobwhite habitat.

Habitat objectives.

Objectives for BCR 34 include:

- ◆ to assess habitat conditions
- ◆ to reduce mesquite encroachment in desert grasslands
- ◆ to reduce nonnative grasses
- ◆ to re-establish native perennial bunchgrasses
- ◆ to establish native food plants, such as leguminous shrubs and native forbs
- ◆ to provide adequate hiding, thermal and nesting cover, either through native plants or artificial means, such as brush piles
- ◆ to assess water distribution and to provide for water needs as necessary
- ◆ to assure adequate interspersions of food, cover and water needed by masked bobwhites
- ◆ to create or maintain stands of vegetation consisting of 15- to 30-percent woody vegetation, at least 15-percent forb cover, at least 15-percent native grass cover and between 0- and 25-percent unobstructed bare ground
- ◆ to create or maintain diverse stands of native vegetation consisting of a minimum of 8 native perennial grass species, a minimum of 12 perennial forb species and a minimum of 3 midstory shrub or tree species
- ◆ to manage livestock stocking rates and grazing regimes to permit co-existence of livestock and masked bobwhite.

*Management recommendations.**Arizona.*

- ◆ Utilize prescribed fire to stimulate growth of forbs and seed-producing plants.
- ◆ Plant appropriate food or cover plants for bobwhite.
- ◆ Implement traditional habitat management techniques, such as disking, mowing and aeration to improve production of food plants.
- ◆ Create brush piles or implement half-cutting to improve cover where needed.
- ◆ Provide water catchments wherever needed.
- ◆ Reduce cover of nonnative grasses and noxious weeds.
- ◆ Assess the predator base and implement reduction, if needed.

Mexico.

- ◆ Establish conservation easements or purchase ranches in core bobwhite areas.
- ◆ Provide for movement corridors between populations.
- ◆ Reduce buffelgrass and re-establish native grass.
- ◆ Provide for water catchments in extremely arid areas.
- ◆ Reduce or eliminate grazing and the development of rotational grazing systems for livestock in core bobwhite areas.
- ◆ Plant appropriate food or cover plants for bobwhites where needed.
- ◆ Implement disking, mowing, aeration and, possibly, prescribed fire to improve production of food plants.
- ◆ Support continued predator reductions if needed.

Bird Conservation Region 35: Chihuahuan Desert *includes portions of Texas and New Mexico*

The Chihuahuan Desert stretches from the Madrean Mountains on the west to the Edwards Plateau in Texas, grades into the Southern Great Plains to the north and extends over much of the central Mexican Plateau. Arid grassland and shrubland covers broad basins and higher elevation oak-juniper woodland and conifers occur in numerous mesas and mountains.

Gambel's Quail

Most Gambel's quail in this BCR occupy the southern portion of New Mexico. Small populations of Gambel's quail exist along the Rio Grande Valley in Texas, but this chapter will dwell on the prime range in New Mexico.

Current trend. While this species does not reach the abundance found in BCR 33, Gambel's quail are still common throughout much of the lower elevations found in the BCR. Recent droughts, particularly during winter months, have reduced overall numbers of Gambel's quail in BCR 34. A return to more normal precipitation will increase abundance, provided habitat is protected from conversion.

Population estimate and population density. No population estimates exist for this species in BCR 34. Trends in harvest data and a few formal call-count surveys are the primary indices of abundance for Gambel's quail. Breeding bird survey data have been used as an additional trend index; although, the data tends to be collected after the peak calling season for this species. Documented density estimates range from 0.27 birds per hectare in poor years or habitats to 2.96 birds per hectare during years of peak abundance. Additional density estimate data is a management need for this species.

Desired population level. Current Gambel's' quail populations must be maintained or increased.

Management issues and limiting factors. The major threat to Gambel's' quail in both New Mexico and Texas is the conversion of mesquite-grassland habitat to both open grassland and to development. Overgrazing is also a factor in declining populations of Gambel's quail.

Habitat objectives. Protection and enhancement of desert wash and riparian habitats are key to this species survival, as is creation and maintenance of suitable ground cover characteristics. Habitat objectives for BCR 35 include:

- ◆ access current habitat conditions
- ◆ identify and maintain minimum distance between water sources in appropriate areas
- ◆ protect 75 percent of existing riparian habitats from further destruction or development
- ◆ develop and implement effective strategies to reduce noxious invasive plant species.

Management recommendations.

- ◆ Identify key riparian habitats and protect them through conservation easement, fee title purchase or federal rangeland protection incentives to private landowners.
- ◆ Work with county and city zoning boards to ensure the needs of quail and other wildlife species

- are met, including considerations for habitat connectivity and adequate patch size.
- ◆ Work with county, city and land-management agencies to ensure lands remain open to quail hunting.
- ◆ Work with land-management agencies and with other entities to reduce harmful invasive plant species and noxious weeds.

Scaled Quail

Current trend. Scaled quail are associated with Chihuahuan Desert grasslands in southern New Mexico and southwestern Texas. Populations have been declining throughout this BCR. Scaled quail still remain common in early-seral stage shrublands in Texas and the mesquite-grasslands of southern New Mexico. Scaled quail numbers appear to have suffered higher declines in overgrazed areas and in places where more climax shrub communities exist.

Population estimate and population density: There are no recent population estimates for scaled quail in BCR 35. Population indices, such as harvest statistics and breeding bird survey data, are the only current indices available. Density estimates for current populations are lacking and remain a very important management need. Population estimates for two adjacent areas in New Mexico over an 8-year period were 1 bird per 8.9 hectares and 1 bird per 11.7 hectares (Cambell et al. 1973).

Desired population level. Sport harvest is the only index to estimate quail abundance in this BCR. The harvest estimates from New Mexico are split between this BCR and BCR 18 (shortgrass prairie). There are no data on scaled quail harvest in Texas since they are recorded along with bobwhite quail in the harvest statistics. A reasonable population goal would be to achieve population levels in New Mexico that would produce a harvest of 150,000 birds, as occurred in the early 1990s and in 1999.

Management issues and limiting factors. The limiting factors for this species involve drought, overuse of grasslands by livestock and conversion of shrub-grassland landscape to grassland only or to one dominated by dense scrub. The effects of invasive grass species and of reduction of native bunch grasses also negatively impact this species.

Habitat objectives. Habitat recommendations call for maintaining mesquite-grassland and early to midseral stages of shrub-grassland communities. Protection and enhancement of these habitats are key to this species' survival, as is creation and maintenance of suitable ground cover characteristics. Provision of water development continues to be debated but may benefit this species in arid portions of its range if not by merely better distribution of grazing. Habitat objectives for BCR 35 include:

- ◆ assess current habitat conditions
- ◆ maintain or improve early seral stage of shrub-grasslands dominated by forbs and shrubs
- ◆ re-establish native bunch grass habitats where possible
- ◆ identify and maintain minimum distance between water sources in appropriate areas
- ◆ develop and implement effective strategies to reduce noxious invasive plant species
- ◆ manage livestock levels to accommodate scaled quail needs.

Management recommendations.

- ◆ Manage shrub-grassland community at appropriate levels to maintain existing suitable habitat for scaled quail.
- ◆ Modify existing livestock grazing to promote habitat conditions for scaled quail.
- ◆ Implement annual monitoring throughout the area to assess grassland condition.
- ◆ Convert 20 percent of shrub-invaded grasslands to preferred shrub-grassland condition to be composed of 10 percent shrubs.
- ◆ Assess and address identified water development needs.
- ◆ Identify and encourage acquisition by trade, fee title purchase or conservation easement of private lands that are of value to scaled quail and are in danger of development.
- ◆ Work on legislation to protect state and federal lands in the Southwest from sale, trade or development.
- ◆ Work with county and city zoning boards and with land-management agencies to ensure lands remain open to hunting.

Recommended Management Practices

Land Management Practices

- ◆ Assess and recommend grazing management that benefits quail, such as deferment, rotation or rest.
- ◆ Appropriate animal unit months (AUMs).
- ◆ Manage shrub and grassland component appropriate for scaled quail.
- ◆ Manage for early seral brush component for California quail and for Montezuma quail.
- ◆ Maintain savanna characteristics in Madrean Archipelago for mountain quail.
- ◆ Increase dense roost site habitats for California quail.
- ◆ Restore native vegetation to riparian corridors by: (a) controlling invasive plant species, e.g., saltcedar, leafy spurge, (b) managing forage removal, and (c) planting native species.
- ◆ Manage dense brush stands for diversity of stand density and edge effect to benefit California quail and Montezuma quail by establishing fire lanes in chaparral.

Invasive Species Management

- ◆ Control and prevent invasive annual grasses and noxious weeds.
- ◆ In appropriate habitats, encourage the use of prescribed fire or create let-burn policies, especially in mountain quail habitats. But, know where fire suppression is important for quail species instead.

Conservation Programs

- ◆ Develop education programs and materials for the public regarding quail and the protection and enhancement of quail habitat.
- ◆ Take advantage of existing federal (e.g., Farm Bill) programs.
- ◆ Seek partnerships with landowners, land management agencies and nongovernment organizations (such as Quail Unlimited, Quail Forever and watershed councils) to improve quail habitat.
- ◆ Encourage community efforts to consider natural resource needs.

Water Distribution and Allocation Policy

- ◆ Restore riparian areas.
- ◆ Restore seeps and springs.
- ◆ Develop and maintain artificial water sources (such as guzzlers and catchments) where needed.
- ◆ Mitigate for overallocation of water resources.

Development Policy

- ◆ Encourage backyard habitat in urban settings.
- ◆ Ensure zoning and planning considers needs of wildlife.

- ◆ Encourage protection of farm and ranch lands.

Fragmentation Policy

- ◆ Create riparian corridors with associated vegetation.
- ◆ Improve connectivity of existing riparian corridors and shrub communities.

Harvest Policy

- ◆ Identify quail hunters to increase accuracy of harvest surveys.
- ◆ Hunting regulations should be appropriate for distribution and size of population.
- ◆ Collect hunter harvest information (e.g., wing collection) where needed.

Disturbance

- ◆ Manage dog training and trials, so impacts to reproducing quail are eliminated or reduced.
- ◆ Work with land-management agencies to manage OHV use to limit damage to habitat. Educate OHV users about impacts to quail and quail habitat. And, provide sacrifice areas that are poor wildlife habitat for OHV users.

Translocations

- ◆ Support intra- and interstate efforts to restore quail populations to suitable habitats.

Research Needs

Monitoring Protocol Development

- ◆ Continue to develop and refine reliable population indices that are independent of harvest data (e.g. call counts, pointing dog surveys, brood counts).

Population Dynamics

- ◆ Discover whether or not the addition of artificial water sources benefits quail populations.
- ◆ Find out how Montezuma quail survive in the high elevation habitats of BCR 16 and 33.
- ◆ Learn whether or not seasonal migration occurs and what distances are traveled if so.
- ◆ Continue to update basic life-history knowledge for all western quail species.
- ◆ Assess quail density potential by habitat type and BCR.

Harvest Policy

- ◆ Refine harvest survey techniques and apply them consistently throughout a species' range.
- ◆ Ascertain how late-season hunting affects breeding populations.
- ◆ Discover how hunting seasons affect bird abundance the following season.

Predation Policy

- ◆ Conduct research into effects of predation if suspected as limiting factor.

Habitat Policy

- ◆ Develop a habitat-assessment model in xeric landscapes for mountain quail.
- ◆ Conduct research regarding the effects of fire for various habitat types by species.
- ◆ Conduct research regarding the effects of timber production and harvest on quail species.
- ◆ Conduct research regarding the effects of grazing in various habitat types.

Translocation Policy

- ◆ Evaluate release techniques.
- ◆ Evaluate source population survival in various habitats.
- ◆ Evaluate various trapping techniques by species.

Recreational Use of Habitat

- ◆ Quantify effects of OHV use.

Development of Habitat

- ◆ Quantify the impacts of both urban and semi-urban developments.

Implementation

- ◆ Development of priority actions for funding (e.g. scaled quail and mountain quail habitats, consistent harvest data collection, implementation of individual state plans, etc).

Review and Update Process

- ◆ The authors recommend this plan be continuously reviewed and updated, with scheduled 5-year reviews.

Literature Cited

- Anderson, W. L. 1974. *Scaled quail: Social organization and movements*. Thesis. University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ.
- Arrington, O. N. 1942. *A survey of possible refuge areas for masked bobwhite in the Arivaca and Altar Valleys: Special report project 110*. Arizona Game and Fish Commission: Phoenix, AZ.
- Bauer, O. 1977. *Improving land for California valley quail, leaflet number 8*. California Department of Fish and Game: Sacramento, CA.
- Beck, J. L., K. P. Reese, P. Zager, and P. E. Heekin. 2005. Simultaneous multiple clutches and female breeding success in mountain quail. *Condor*: 107:891–9.
- Bendire, C. 1892. *Life histories of North American birds: Special bulletin—U.S. Natural Museum 3*. Smithsonian Institute: Washington, DC.
- Bishop, R. A. 1964. *The Mearns' quail (Cyrtonyx montezumae mearnsi) in southern Arizona*. Thesis. University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ.
- Bishop, R. A., and C. R. Hungerford. 1965. Seasonal food selection of Arizona Mearns' quail. *Journal of Wildlife Management*. 29:813–9.
- Blakely, K. L., J. A. Crawford, R. M. Oats, and K. M. Kilbride. 1988. Invertebrate matter in the diet of California quail in western Oregon. *Murrelet*. 69:75–8.
- Brennan, L. A. 1990. What happened to the Mountain quail in Idaho? *Quail Unlimited Magazine*. 42–43.
- _____. 1994. Broad-scale population declines in four species of North American quail: An examination of possible causes. In *Sustainable ecological systems: Implementing an ecological approach to land management, general technical report rm-247*, eds. W. W. Covington, and L. F. Deb, 44–50. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station: Fort Collins, CO.
- Brennan, L. A., and W. M. Block. 1986. Line transect estimates of mountain quail density. *Journal of Wildlife Management*. 50:373–7.
- Brennan, L. A., W. M. Block, and R. J. Gutierrez. 1987. Habitat used by mountain quail in northern California. *Condor*. 89:66–74.
- Bristow, K. D., and R. A. Ockenfels. 2000. *Effects of human activity and habitat conditions on Mearns' quail populations: Technical Guidance Bulletin Number 4*. Arizona Game and Fish Department: Phoenix, AZ.
- Bristow K. D., and R. A. Ockenfels. 2002. Brood season habitat selection by Montezuma quail in southeastern Arizona. *Proceedings of the National Quail Symposium*. 5:111–6.
- Bristow, K. D., and R. A. Ockenfels. 2004. Pairing season habitat selection by Montezuma quail in southeastern Arizona. *Journal of Range Management*. 57:532–38.
- Bristow, K. D., and R. A. Ockenfels. 2006. Fall and winter habitat use by scaled quail in southeastern Arizona. *Journal of Rangeland Ecology and Management*. 59:308–13.
- Brown, D. E. 1970. *Scaled quail habitat evaluation: Federal aid project W-53-R*. Arizona Game and Fish Department: Phoenix, AZ.
- _____. 1989. *Arizona game birds*. Arizona Game and Fish Department and University of Arizona Press: Phoenix and Tucson, AZ.
- Brown, D. E., and D. H. Ellis. 1977. *Status summary and recovery plan for the masked bobwhite*. U.S.

- Fish and Wildlife Service: Albuquerque, NM.
- Brown, D. E., C. L. Cochran, and T. E. Waddell. 1978. Using call-counts to predict hunting success for scaled quail. *Journal of Wildlife Management*. 42:281–7.
- Brown, D. E., J. C. Hagelin, M. Taylor, and J. Galloway. 1998. Gambel's quail (*Callipepla gambelii*). In *The birds of North America, No. 321*, eds. A. Poole, and F. Gills, 1–124. The Birds of North America, Inc.: Philadelphia, PA.
- Brown, H. 1900. Conditions governing bird life in Arizona. *Auk*. 17:31–4.
- _____. 1904. Masked bob-white (*Colinus ridgwayi*). *Auk*. 210–3.
- Brown, R. L. 1978. *An ecological study of Mearns' quail: Federal aid project W-78-R-22, final report*. Arizona Game and Fish Department: Phoenix, AZ.
- _____. 1979. *Mearns' quail census method. Federal aid project W-78-R-15, final report*. Arizona Game and Fish Department: Phoenix, AZ.
- _____. 1982. Effects of livestock grazing on Mearns' quail in southeastern Arizona. *Journal of Range Management*. 35:727–32.
- Burger, L. W., Jr., M. R. Ryan, T. V Dailey, and E. W. Kurzejeski. 1995. Reproductive strategies, success, and mating systems of northern bobwhite in Missouri. *Journal of Wildlife Management*. 59:417–26.
- Cain, J. R., R. J. Lien, and S. L. Beasom. 1987. Phytoestrogen effects on reproductive performance of scaled quail. *Journal of Wildlife Management*. 51:198–201.
- California Partners in Flight. 2004. *The coastal scrub and chaparral bird conservation plan: A strategy for protecting and managing coastal scrub and chaparral habitats and associated birds in California*. PRBO Conservation Science: Stinson Beach, CA.
- Calkins, Jennifer D., Julie C. Hagelin, and Dale F. Lott. 1999. California quail (*Callipepla californica*) in *The birds of North America online*, ed, A. Poole. <http://bna.birds.cornell.edu/bna/species/473doi:10.2173/bna/473>.
- Camou-Luders, G.; W. P. Kuvlesky, Jr.; and F. S. Guthery. 1998. *Rainfall and masked bobwhites in Sonora, Mexico, RMRS-P-5, 253-260*. U. S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service: Fort Collins, CO.
- Campbell, H., and B. K. Harris. 1965. Mass population dispersal and long-distance movements: Scaled quail. *Journal of Wildlife Management*. 29:801–5.
- Campbell, H., D. K. Martin, P. W. Ferkovich, and B. K. Harris. 1973. Effects of hunting and some other environmental factors on scaled quail in New Mexico. *Wildlife Monographs*. 34:1–49.
- Cottam, C., and P. Knappen. 1939. Foods of some uncommon North American birds. *Auk*. 56:138–69.
- Cous, E. 1866. *List of birds of Fort Whipple, Arizona*. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 18:71.
- Crawford, J. A. 1986a. Annual variation in the hatching chronology of California quail at the E. E. Wilson Wildlife Area, Oregon. *Murrelet*. 67:87–9.
- Crawford, J. A. 1986b. Differential prevalence of avian pox in adult and immature California quail. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases*. 22:564–6.
- Crawford, J. A. 1993. California quail in western Oregon: A review. In *Quail III: National quail symposium*, eds. K. E. Church, and T. V. Daily, 148–54. Kansas Wildlife and Parks: Pratt, KS.
- Crawford, J. A. 2000. *Historic distribution of mountain quail in the Pacific Northwest*. In *Quail IV: Proceedings of the fourth national quail symposium*, eds, L. A. Brennan, W. E. Palmer, L. W.

- Burger, Jr., and T. L. Pruden, 194–7. Tall Timbers Research Station: Tallahassee, FL.
- Crawford, J. A., and R. M. Oats. 1986. Sex and age ratios of shot and trapped California quail. *Wildlife Society Bulletin*. 14:380–2.
- Davis, C. A., R. C. Barkley, and W. C. Haussamen. 1975. Scaled quail foods in southeastern New Mexico. *Journal of Wildlife Management*. 39:496–502.
- Delehanty, D. J. 1995. Incubation and brood rearing by a wild male Mountain quail. *Western Birds*. 26:46–48.
- _____. 1997. *Mountain quail reproduction and restoration in the Great Basin*. Ph.D. dissertation, University of Nevada, Reno, NV.
- Dobrott, S. J. 1992. *Annual biological report*. U.S. Fish and wildlife Service: Buenos Aires National Wildlife Refuge, AZ.
- Emlen, J. T. 1939. Seasonal movements of a low-density valley quail population. *Journal of Wildlife Management*. 3:118–30.
- ...from pages
__ to __. Emlen, J. T., and B. Glading. 1945. Increasing valley quail in California. *California Agricultural Experimental Station Bulletin*. 695:PAGES.
- Engel-Wilson, R., and W. P. Kuvlesky, Jr. 2002. Arizona quail: Species in jeopardy? *Quail V: Proceeding of the Fifth National Quail Symposium*. 5:1–7.
- Figge, H. 1946. Scaled quail management in Colorado. *Proceedings of the Western Association of State Game and Fish Commissioners*. 26:161.
- Fitzhugh, L. 1983. *How to increase California quail populations, leaflet 21325*. University of California, Division of Agricultural Sciences, Cooperative Extension Unit: Davis, CA.
- Francis, W. J. 1970. The influence of weather on population fluctuations in California quail. *Journal of Wildlife Management*. 34:249–66.
- Gallizioli, S. 1965. *Quail research in Arizona: Federal aid project W-78-R*. Arizona Game and Fish Department: Phoenix, AZ.
- Gallizioli, S., and E. L. Webb. 1961. *The influence of hunting upon quail populations: Federal aid project W-78-R*. Arizona Game and Fish Department: Phoenix, AZ.
- Genelly, Richard E. 1955. Annual cycle in a population of California quail. *Condor*. 57:263–5.
- Gladding B. 1938. Studies on the nesting cycle of the California quail in 1937. *California Fish and Game Department*. 24:318–40.
- _____. 1941. Valley quail census methods and populations at the San Joaquin Experimental Range. *California Fish and Game Department*. 27:33–8.
- Gladding, B., D. M. Selleck, and F. T. Ross. 1945. Valley quail under private management at the Dune Lakes Club. *California Fish and Game Department*. 31:166–83.
- Goodwin, J. G. 1982. *Habitat needs of masked bobwhite in Arizona*. University of Arizona: Albuquerque, NM.
- Goodwin, J. G., Jr., and C. R. Hungerford. 1977. *Habitat use by native Gambel's and scaled quail and released masked bobwhite quail in southern Arizona, research paper RM-197*. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service: Fort Collins, CO.
- Gorsuch, D. M. 1934. Life history of the Gambel's quail in Arizona. *University of Arizona Bulletin*. 2:1–89.
- Greenwalt, L. A. 1955. *Mobility of Gambel's quail (Lophortyx gambelii gambelii) in a desert grassland-oak woodland area in southeastern Arizona*. Thesis. University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ.

- Grenfell, W. E., B. M. Browning, and W. E. Stienecker. 1980. *Food habits of California upland game birds, administration report no. 80-1*. California Department of Fish and Game: Sacramento, CA.
- Grinnell, G. B. 1884. A quail new to the United States fauna. *Forest and Stream*. 12:243.
- Grinnell, J., H. C. Bryant, and T. I. Storer. 1918. *The game birds of California*. University of California Press: Berkeley, CA.
- Gutierrez, R. J. 1980. Comparative ecology of the mountain and California quail in Carmel Valley, California. *Living Bird*. 18:71–93
- Gutierrez, R. J., and D. J. Delehanty. 1999. Mountain quail (*Oreortyx pictus*). In *The birds of North America, no. 457*, eds., A. Poole, and F. Gil, 1–28. The Birds of North America, Inc.: Philadelphia, PA.
- Gullion, G. W. 1954. Management of Nevada's Gambel's quail resource. *Proceedings of the Annual Conference of Western Association of State Game and Fish Commissioners*. 32:234–9.
- _____. 1956. Evidence of double brooding in Gambel's quail. *Condor*. 58:232–4.
- _____. 1960. The ecology of Gambel's quail in Nevada and the arid Southwest. *Ecology*. 41:518–36.
- _____. 1962. Organization and movements of coveys of a Gambel's quail population. *Condor*. 64:402–15.
- Gullion, G. W., and A. M. Gullion. 1964. Water economy of Gambel's quail. *Condor*. 66:32–40.
- Guthery, F. S. 2000. *On bobwhites*. Texas A&M University Press: College Station, TX.
- Guthery, F. S., C. L. Land, and B. W. Hall. 2001. Heat loads on reproducing bobwhites in the semiarid subtropics. *Journal of Wildlife Management*. 65:111–7.
- Guthery, F. S., M. J. Peterson, J. J. Lusk, M. J. Rabe, S. J. DeMaso, M. Sams, R. D. Applegate, and T. V. Dailey. 2004. Multistate analysis of fixed, liberal regulations in quail harvest management. *Journal of Wildlife Management*. 68:1,104–13.
- Guthery, F. S., N. E. Koerth, and D. S. Smith. 1988. Reproduction of northern bobwhites in semiarid environments. *Journal of Wildlife Management*. 52:144–9.
- Guthery, F. S., N. M. King, K. R. Nolte, W. P. Kuvlesky, Jr., S. DeStefano, S. A. Gall, and N. J. Silvy. 2001. Comparative habitat ecology of masked bobwhites, scaled and Gambel's quail in southern Arizona. *Journal of Wildlife Management*. 65:850–60.
- Heekin, P. E. 1993. Radio-telemetry reveals secrets of Mountain quail in Idaho. *Quail Unlimited Magazine*. 12:8–11.
- Heekin, P. E., C. A. Vogel, and K. P. Reese. 1994. Uncovering the elusive habits of mountain quail in Idaho. *Quail Unlimited Magazine*. 13:14–6.
- Heffelfinger, J. R., and R. J. Olding. 2000. Montezuma quail management in Arizona. *Proceeding of the National Quail Symposium*. 4:183–90.
- Heffelfinger, J. R., F. S. Guthery, R. J. Olding, C. L. Cochran, Jr., and C. M. McMullen. 1999. Influence of precipitation timing and summer temperatures on reproduction of Gambel's quail. *Journal of Wildlife Management*. 63:154–61.
- Hensley, M. M. 1954. Ecological relations of the breeding bird population of the desert biome in Arizona. *Ecological Monographs*. 24:185–207.
- _____. 1959. Notes on the nesting of selected species of birds of the Sonoran Desert. *Wilson Bulletin*. 71:86–92.
- Hernandez, F.; W. P. Kuvlesky, Jr.; R. W. DeYoung; L. A. Brennan; and S. A. Gall. 2006. Recovery of a rare species: Case study of the masked bobwhite. *Journal of Wildlife Management*. 70(3):617–

- 31.
- Hoffman, D. M. 1965. *Scaled quail in Colorado, technical report no. 18*. Colorado Game, Fish and Parks: Denver, CO.
- Hungerford, C. R. 1960. The factors affecting the breeding of Gambel's quail (*Lophortyx gambelii gambelii*) in Arizona. Dissertation, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ.
- _____. 1962. Adaptations shown in selection of food by Gambel's quail. *Condor*. 64:213–19.
- _____. 1964. Vitamin A and productivity in Gambel's quail. *Journal of Wildlife Management*. 28:141.
- Johnsgard, P. A. 1973. *Grouse and quails of North America*. University of Nebraska Press: Lincoln, NB.
- Johnson, N. K. 1972. Origin and differentiation of the avifauna of the Channel Islands, California. *Condor*. 74:295–315.
- Kilbride, K. M. 1991. *Applications of radiotelemetry to studies of California quail in western Oregon*. M.S. thesis, Oregon State University, Corvallis.
- Kilbride, K. M. J. A. Crawford, K. L. Blakely, and B. A. Williams. 1992. Habitat use by breeding female California quail in western Oregon. *Journal of Wildlife Management*. 56:85–90.
- Kimball, T. L. 1946. *Experimental quail watering devices, February through March, 1946: Federal aid report 9—R: Quail*. Arizona Game and Fish Department: Phoenix, AZ.
- King, N. M. 1998. *Habitat use by endangered masked bobwhites and other quail on the Buenos Aires National Wildlife Refuge, Arizona*. Thesis. University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ.
- Klimstra, W. D., and J. L. Roseberry. 1975. Nesting ecology of the bobwhite southern Illinois. *Wildlife Monograph*. 41:1–37.
- Kufeld, R. C. 1964. *Quail survey and hunt information: Federal aid report W-53-R*. Arizona Game and Fish Department: Phoenix, AZ.
- Kuvlesky, W. P., Jr., T. E. Fulbright, and R. Engel-Wilson. The impact of invasive exotic grasses on quail in the southwestern United States. *Quail V: Proceedings of the Fifth National Quail Symposium*. 5:118–28.
- Latta, M. J., C. J. Beardmore, and T. E. Corman. 1999. *Arizona Partners in Flight birds conservation plan, version 1.0, nongame and endangered wildlife program technical report 142*. Arizona Game and Fish Department: Phoenix, AZ.
- Lawson, L. L. 1951. *Masked bobwhite and Benson quail trapping in Sonora, Mexico: Federal aid project W-40-R-2, work plan 2, job 2, completion report*. Arizona Game and Fish Department: Phoenix, AZ.
- Leach, H. R., and W. H. Frazier. 1953. A study of the possible extent of predation on heavy concentrations of valley quail with special reference to the bobcat. *California Fish and Game Department*. 39:527–38.
- Lehmann, V. W. 1946. Bobwhite quail reproduction in southwestern Texas. *Journal of Wildlife Management*. 10:124–36.
- Leopold, A. S. 1977. *The California quail*. University of California Press: Berkeley, CA.
- Leopold, A. S., and R. A. McCabe. 1957. Natural history of the Montezuma quail in Mexico. *Condor*. 59:3–26.
- Levy, S. H., and J. J. Levy. 1984. *Report of a brief reconnaissance of the Buenos Aires Ranch during August 1982 and 1983 in search of the masked bobwhite*. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service: Albuquerque, NM.

- Ligon, J. W. 1952. The vanishing masked bobwhite. *Condor*. 54: 48–50.
- MacGregor, W. G., Jr. 1953. An evaluation of California quail management. *Proceedings of the Annual Conference of the Western Association of State Game and Fish Commissions*. 33:157–60.
- MacGregor, W. G., and M. Inlay. 1951. Observations on failure of Gambel's quail to breed. *California Fish and Game*. 37:218–9.
- McMillan, I. I. 1964. Annual population changes in California quail. *Journal of Wildlife Management*. 28:702–11.
- Medina, A. L. 1988. Diets of scaled quail in southern Arizona. *Journal of Wildlife Management*. 52:753–7.
- _____. 2003. Gambel and scaled quail diets on the Santa Rita Experimental Range. In *Santa Rita Experimental Range: 100 years (1903 to 2003) of accomplishments and contributions: Proceedings RMRS-P-30.2003*, technical coordinators, M. P. McClaran, Ffolliott, P. F., and Edminster, C. B., tech. cords, 133–40.. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service: Ogden, UT.
- Miller, E. V. 1950. *The life history and management of mountain quail in California: Final Progress Report, project W-19-R*. California Department of Fish and Game: Sacramento, CA.
- Newman, T. 1978. *Food habits of young-of-the-year California quail on California annual grass rangeland*. M. S. thesis. California State University, Fresno, CA.
- Oats, R. M., and J. A. Crawford. 1983. Effects of habitat manipulation on California quail in western Oregon. *Journal of Wildlife Management*. 47:229–34.
- Ough, W. D., and J. C. deVos, Jr. 1984. *Masked bobwhite investigations on the Buenos Aires Ranch in 1982*. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service: Albuquerque, NM.
- Pope, M. D. 2002. *The ecology of mountain quail in Oregon*. Ph. D. Dissertation, Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon.
- Pope, M. D., and J. A. Crawford. 2001. Male incubation and biparental care in mountain quail. *Condor*. 103:865–870.
- _____. 2004. Survival rates of translocated and native mountain quail in Oregon. *Western Great Basin Naturalist*. 64(3):331–7.
- Pope, M. D., M. Hanson, and J. A. Crawford. 2004. Habitat associations of translocated and native mountain quail in Oregon. *Northwest Science*. 78:242–8.
- Pope, M. D., N. Richardson, and J. A. Crawford. 2002. Fall and winter diets of mountain quail in southwestern Oregon. *Northwest Science*. 76:261–5
- Rait, R. J., and R. E. Genelly. 1964. Dynamics of a population of California quail. *Journal of Wildlife Management*. 28:127–41.
- Raitt, R. J., and R. D. Ohmart. 1966. Annual cycle of reproduction and molt in Gambel's quail of the Rio Grande Valley, southern New Mexico. *Condor*. 68:541–61.
- Rollins, D. 1981. Diets of sympatric bobwhite and scaled quail in Oklahoma. *Proceedings of the Annual Conference of the Southeastern Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies*. 35:239–49.
- _____. Status, ecology and management of scaled quail in west Texas. *Quail IV: Proceedings of the Fourth National Quail Symposium*. 4:165–72.
- Roseberry, J. L., and W. D. Klimstra. 1984. *Population ecology of the bobwhite*. Southern Illinois University, Carbondale, IL.
- Rosene, W. 1969. *The bobwhite quail—Its life and management*. Rutgers University, New Brunswick,

NJ.

- Saiwana, L., J. L. Holocek, A. Tembo, R. Valdez, and M. Cardenas. 1998. Scaled quail use of different seral stages in the Chihuahuan Desert. *Journal of Wildlife Management*. 62:550–6.
- Savage, A. E. 1974. Productivity and movement of California valley quail in northeast California. *Transactions of the Western Section of the Wildlife Society Conference*. 1974:84–8.
- Schemnitz, S. D. 1961. Ecology of the scaled quail in the Oklahoma Panhandle. *Wildlife Monographs*. 8:1–47.
- _____. 1993. Scaled quail habitats revisited--Oklahoma Panhandle. *Proceedings of the National Symposium on Quail*. 3:143–7.
- _____. 1994. Scaled quail (*Callipepla squamata*). In *The Birds of North America*, no. 106, eds., A. Poole, and F. Gills, 1–16. The Birds of North America, Inc.: Philadelphia, PA.
- Schemnitz, S. D., J. L. Dye, and M. Cardenas. 1998. *Fall and winter foods of scaled and Gambel's quail in southwestern New Mexico: Agricultural extension bulletin no. 777*. New Mexico State University, Las Cruces, NM.
- Senteney, P. E. 1957. *Factors affecting the nesting of Gambel's quail in southern Arizona*. Thesis. University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ.
- Sharp, G. T., P. E. Lederle, C. L. Sowell, and M. K. Cox. 1999. *Survival, movements, and nesting activity of Gambel's quail at Yucca Mountain, Nevada: Contractor report B00000000-01717-5705-00071 Rev 00*. U.S. Department of Energy, Civilian Radioactive Waste Management, System Management and Operation. Las Vegas, NV.
- Siegel, R. B., and D. F. DeSante. 1999. *The draft avian conservation plan for the Sierra Nevada bioregion: Conservation priorities and strategies for safeguarding Sierra bird populations, version 1.0*. Institute for Bird Populations: Point Reyes Station, CA.
- Simms, K. M. 1989. *Home range, habitat use, and movements of reintroduced masked bobwhite*. Thesis, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ.
- Smith, R. H., and S. Gallizioli. 1963. *Gambel's quail population trend techniques: Federal aid project W-78-R*. Arizona Game and Fish Department: Phoenix, AZ.
- _____. 1964. *Gambel's quail population trend techniques: Federal aid project W-78-R*. Arizona Game and Fish Department: Phoenix, AZ.
- _____. 1965. Predicting hunter success by means of a spring call count of Gambel's quail. *Journal of Wildlife Management*. 29:806–13.
- Sowls, L. K. 1960. Results of a banding study of Gambel's quail in southern Arizona. *Journal of Wildlife Management*. 24:185–90.
- Stinnett, D. P., and D. A. Klebenow. 1986. Habitat use of irrigated lands by California quail in Nevada. *Journal of Wildlife Management*. 50(3):368–73.
- Stormer, F. A. 1984. Night-roosting habitat of scaled quail. *Journal of Wildlife Management*. 48:191–7.
- Stromberg, M. R. 1990. Habitat, movements, and roost characteristics of Montezuma quail in southeastern Arizona. *Condor*. 92:229–36.
- _____. 2000. Montezuma quail (*Cyrtonyx montezumae*). In *The Birds of North America*, no. 524, eds. A. Poole, and F. Gills, 1–20. The Birds of North America, Inc.: Philadelphia, PA.
- Sumber, E. L., Jr. 1935. A life history study of the California quail, with recommendations for its conservation and management. *California Fish and Game Department*. 21:167–253, 275–342.
- Swank, W. G., and S. Gallizioli. 1954. The influence of hunting and rainfall on Gambel's quail populations.

- Transactions of the North American Wildlife and Natural Resources Conference*. 19:283–96.
- Tomlinson, R. E. 1972a. Review of literature on the endangered masked bobwhite. *U.S. Fish and Wildlife Research Publication*. 108:1–28.
- _____. 1972b. Current status of the endangered masked bobwhite quail. *Transactions of the North American Wildlife and Natural Resources Conference*. 37:294–311.
- _____. 1975. Weights and wing lengths of wild Sonoran masked bobwhite during fall and winter. *Wilson Bulletin*. 87:180–6.
- _____. 1984. Habitat needs of the masked bobwhite in Mexico. In *Masked bobwhite biology and conservation: Proceedings of a symposium*, eds. M. R. Stromberg, T. B. Johnson, and S. W. Hoffman, 12–4. Arizona Game and fish Department: Tucson, AZ.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1995. *Masked bobwhite (Colinus virginianus ridgwayi) Recovery Plan*. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service: Albuquerque, New Mexico.
- Van Rosem, A. J. 1945. A distributional survey of the birds of Sonora, Mexico. *Occasional Papers of the Museum of Zoology of Louisiana State University*. 21:379.
- Wallmo, O. C. 1954. Nesting of Mearns' quail in southeastern Arizona. *Condor*. 56:125–8.
- _____. 1956a. Determination of sex and age of scaled quail. *Journal of Wildlife Management*. 20:154–8.
- _____. 1956b. *Ecology of scaled quail in west Texas*. Texas Game and Fish Commission: Austin, TX.
- Wallmo, O. C., and P. B. Uzzell. 1958. Ecological and social problems in quail management in west Texas. *Transactions of the North American Wildlife Conference*. 23:320–7.
- Wilson, M., and J. A. Crawford. 1987. Habitat selection of Texas bobwhites and chestnut-bellied scaled quail in south Texas. *Journal of Wildlife Management*. 51:575–82.
- Wright, J. T. 1951. Evaluation of the quail restoration plots in Cochise County: Federal aid project 40-R-2. Arizona Game and Fish Department: Phoenix, AZ.
- Yeager, W. M. 1966. *Mearns' quail management information: Federal aid project W-53-R-16*. Arizona Game and Fish Department: Phoenix, AZ.
- Zornes, M. 2005. *Project performance report, federal aid project w-53-m-55*. Arizona Game and Fish Department: Phoenix, AZ.